The Lake Bond deposit: superimposed volcanogenic and synorogenic base and precious metal mineralization in the Robert's Arm Group, central Newfoundland
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The Lake Bond deposit is hosted by Lower Ordovician volcanic rocks of the Robert's Arm Group. Detailed petrological studies indicate that there are two generations of superimposed alteration and sulphide deposition. The first generation mineralization is pre-kinematic, and is characterized by pyrite and Cu-Zn sulphides associated with pervasive chloritization and locally intense quartz-sericite alteration. The second generation mineralization occurs in syn- to post-kinematic veins, and comprises locally auriferous Cu-Zn-Pb sulphides in a dominantly Ca-Mg-Fe silicate gangue.

First generation mineralization resulted in 5x to 100x enrichments in Cu, Zn, Pb, Au, As, Sb, Cd and Ba, with respect to the probable source basalts. Second generation veins, in contrast, carry 100x to 1000x enrichments in most of these metals (except Sb and Ba), substantial enrichments in Fe and Mn, >10x enrichment in Ag, and a marked increase in the enrichment of Pb, Au, As and Ag relative to Cu and Zn compared to the first generation mineralization. Sphalerites in second generation veins are enriched in Cd, Mn and Fe relative to first generation sphalerites, paralleling enrichments in the whole rocks. The Ca-Mg-Fe vein silicate assemblage suggests fluid temperatures greater than 200°C and possibly greater than 300°C; abundant calcite in the veins implies that CO₂ may have influenced mineralization. Fluid inclusions in second generation sphalerite yield average homogenization temperatures of about 306°C.

The timing of deformation and second generation mineralization in the Lake Bond deposit is constrained to the early Silurian by cross-cutting relationships with dated post-tectonic plutons. Comparisons with other deposits in the Robert's Arm Group and deposits elsewhere in central Newfoundland suggest a two-stage metallogenic model comprising: (1) an early volcanogenic event coeval with the Lower Ordovician host rocks; and (2) early Silurian hydrothermal activity related to shearing and movement on major faults. The latter is tentatively correlated with a widespread lode gold mineralizing event in central Newfoundland. The second generation auriferous mineralization apparently preferentially overprinted early sulphide zones at Lake Bond suggesting an exploration potential for auriferous zones within low-grade volcanogenic sulphide stockworks in the Buchans-Robert's Arm Belt.
être dépassant les 300°C; l'abondance de calcite dans ces veines suggère une influence du CO₂ sur la minéralisation. Les inclusions fluides dans la blende de seconde génération livrent des températures moyennes d'homogénéisation autour de 306°C.

Le recoupement par des plutons post-cinématiques dont l'âge est connu permet de cantonner la déformation et la minéralisation de seconde génération dans le gîte de Lake Bond au début du Silurien. Une comparaison avec les autres gîtes présents dans le Groupe de Robert's Arm ainsi qu'avec des gîtes situés en d'autres points du centre de Terre-Neuve suggère un modèle métallologique en deux étapes qui sont: (1) un épisode volcanogène précoce contemporain des roches encaissantes ordovi ciennes inférieures et (2) un hydrothermalisme éosilurien en liaison avec un cisaillement et un déplacement le long de failles majeures. On corrèle provisoirement cet hydrothermalisme avec un épisode répandu de minéralisation filonienne en or dans le centre de Terre-Neuve. Il semble qu'à Lake Bond, la minéralisation aurifère de seconde génération se soit superposée aux zones de sulfures précoces, ce qui suggère un potentiel d'exploration pour les zones aurifères au sein des stockwerks de sulfures volcanogènes à faible teneur dans la ceinture de Buchans-Robert's Arm.

INTRODUCTION

The Lake Bond deposit is a Cu-Zn deposit hosted by mafic volcanic rocks of the Lower Ordovician Robert's Arm Group in central Newfoundland (Fig. 1). Discovered in 1955 by New Jersey Zinc Corporation geologists, the deposit was explored sporadically through the 1960's and early 1970's. Published estimates suggest that it contains approximately 1.1 million tonnes grading 0.3% Cu and 2.1% Zn (Consolidated Morrison Explorations Limited, 1977). The Lake Bond deposit is along strike with and approximately 16 km to the south of the Gullbridge Mine, which produced 3 million tonnes grading 1.1% Cu between 1967 and 1971 (Upadhyay and Smitheringale, 1972; Swinden, 1988).

The Robert’s Arm Group in the Lake Bond area is part of a regionally extensive sequence of Lower Ordovician marine volcanic and epiclastic rocks, which locally is referred to as the “Buchans-Robert’s Arm belt.” In addition to the Gullbridge deposit, this belt contains several other well known volcanogenic massive sulphide (VMS) deposits (Fig. 1), including the Buchans deposits, which produced more than 17 million tonnes of polymetallic base and precious metal ore over a mining life of more than 50 years (Swanson et al., 1981; Kirkham, 1987), and the Pilley’s Island deposits in the north, which produced Cu and sulphur at the turn of the century and are still being actively explored for base and precious metals (Tuach, 1988a).

The southern part of the Robert’s Arm Group (hereafter termed “SRAG”) hosts numerous small, dominantly Cu ± Zn,
occurrences in addition to the Lake Bond and Gullbridge deposits (Fig. 2). By analogy with the larger deposits nearby, these generally have been interpreted as volcanicogenic (Upadhyay and Smitheringale, 1972; Swinden and Kean, 1984; Swinden and Sacks, 1986; Swinden, 1988). However, an alternative interpretation for one of these deposits was raised by Hudson and Swinden (1989). They suggested, based on petrological, mineralogical and structural evidence, that the Handcamp prospect (Fig. 2) occupies a syn- to post-tectonic, shear-hosted setting, thereby indicating the presence of at least two regionally important mineralizing events in the Buchans-Robert’s Arm belt, rather than the one volcanicogenic event previously recognized.

In this paper, we present petrological, geochemical and fluid inclusion data from the Lake Bond deposit in support of the suggestion that this syn- to post-tectonic alteration and mineralization event is widespread in the SRAG and locally overprints the earlier pre-tectonic, probably volcanicogenic, mineralization. Because this second stage mineralization is locally auriferous, its recognition implies an enhanced exploration potential for sulphide showings in the SRAG, previously thought to have potential only for low-grade base metals.

REGIONAL GEOLOGY

The SRAG consists mainly of mafic volcanic rocks (dominantly pillow lavas, locally massive flows and tuffs) with lesser felsic volcanic and epiclastic sedimentary rocks. To the west, the SRAG is overlain unconformably by unnamed Carboniferous red sedimentary rocks and by Silurian subaerial felsic volcanic rocks of the Springdale Group, and intruded by the Silurian Skull Hill alkali intrusive suite. To the east, the SRAG is intruded by the post-tectonic Twin Lakes granodiorite and Dawes Pond Granite.

Swinden and Sacks (1986) recognized a west-facing lithol-
ological succession in the SRAG which they tentatively suggested was also litho-stratigraphic. They identified five informal lithological units from east to west: the Baker Brook basalt, Gull Hill sediments, Burnt Island basalt, Gullbridge felsic volcanics and South Brook basalt (Fig. 2). Our subsequent mapping and geochemical studies suggest that this lithological succession is structurally more complex than was recognized by Swinden and Sacks (1986). Contacts between units are locally structural and there is evidence for shearing and faulting in the area. Furthermore, preliminary geochemical data for the volcanic rocks suggest that some lithological units are composite (H.S. Swinden, unpublished data). Despite this, these units present a convenient framework for discussion of the general geology, and are retained in the present discussion.

The entire SRAG is structurally attenuated, deformed, and metamorphosed in the greenschist facies, producing a spilitic assemblage of albite-actinolite-chlorite-epidote-sphe ne in the mafic volcanic rocks. The rocks are polydeformed and carry a prominent, northeast-trending schistosity which is also known to have affected fissiliferous Lower Silurian Sansom Greywacke in the Robert's Arm area to the north (Dean and Strong, 1976). A post-main schistosity thermal metamorphic overprint, probably related to the intrusion of the post-tectonic Twin Lakes granodiorite, affected much of the SRAG and the adjacent Sansom Greywacke, resulting in growth of andalusite ± garnet ± staurolite in pelitic rocks and a distinctive cordierite-anthophyllite ± andalusite assemblage in mineralized alteration zones at and near the Gullbridge deposit.

THE LAKE BOND DEPOSIT

Geological setting and petrography

The Lake Bond deposit, hosted by highly altered pillow lavas assigned to the Burnt Island basalt, is exposed in a series of trenches on the northwest shore of Lake Bond (Swinden, 1988) (Fig. 2). The deposit was extensively drilled in the 1960's and 1970's and core dumps and boxes of core remain at the site and preserve intersections representing the mineralized zone.

Rocks in the mineralized zone are pervasively chloritized and locally silicified; sulphides comprise disseminations, stringers, veinlets and small massive pods of pyrite, sphalerite, lesser chalcopyrite and, locally, minor galena. The deposit is particularly zinc-rich relative to other deposits in the SRAG. Epidote alteration, though not common in the immediate ore zone, is widespread over an area of 2 km² around the deposit (Fig. 2), and locally is accompanied by minor pyrite ± chalcopyrite.

Although generally interpreted to be a large volcanogenic stockwork zone on the basis of the geological setting and the sulphide and alteration mineralogy (Consolidated Morrison Explorations, 1977; Swinden and Sacks, 1986; Swinden, 1988), petrographic observations suggest a more complex mineralization history. Observations of thin and polished sections indicate that alteration and sulphide minerals are of two generations which can be separated on the basis of mineralogy and the relationships to the main deformation:

(1) deformed sphalerite and pyrite with lesser chalcopyrite occur as disseminations, stringers and pods associated with a pervasive chloritization and local silicification of the host basalts. The altered basalts contain a marked penetrative foliation, defined by aligned, 1 to 4 mm thick, wispy chlorite and sphalerite, as well as minor sphene and in some cases, sericite. Chlorite- and calcite-filled vesicles in altered basalts are flattened parallel to the foliation. Pyrite crystals are enveloped by quartz pressure shadows, and indicate dextral rotation. The sulphides frequently are brecciated and/or boudinaged, with fragments aligned parallel to the schistosity. This alteration/sulphide assemblage clearly is pre-tectonic and hereafter is termed the “first generation” mineralization.

(2) sphalerite, chalcopyrite, pyrite and trace galena occur in veins ranging from 1 mm to >1 cm wide, which cut the dominant foliation. Many vein-hosted sphalerite grains show traces of growth zoning, suggesting that they were not affected by deformation. Although commonly undeformed, some of the veins have sheared edges, with accompanying fragmentation and shearing of the sulphides parallel to the first schistosity, suggesting that they are partly syn-kinematic. Chalcopyrite grains are rounded to subangular, and generally are enclosed by sphalerite. Galena occurs as fine disseminations in the veins. This mineralization, which is clearly later than the deformed sulphides described above, is hereafter termed “second generation” mineralization.

Major gangue constituents in the second generation veins include epidote occurring as radial aggregates of rectangular crystals, and second generation calcite, quartz and blue, birefringent chlorite. Veins containing calcite, quartz and chlorite typically are strongly banded, with the calcite cleavages forming “V” shapes about the banding direction, suggesting that they were syn-kinematic minerals. Minor gangue constituents include blocky and rectangular clinzoisite, and zoned, euhedral, brown-black garnet (possibly grossular or andradite).

The contrasting parageneses of first and second generation mineralization are summarized in Figure 3.

Geochemistry

Analytical methods

Eighteen whole rock samples taken from trenches and drill core at the Lake Bond deposit, representing both first and second generation mineralization, were analyzed for major and trace elements at X-Ray Assay Laboratories, Don Mills, Ontario, in an effort to characterize the two mineralizing episodes geochemically. Major element analyses were carried out by X-ray fluorescence. Instrumental precision is quoted by the analysts as better than 0.5% and this was confirmed by analysis of blind duplicate samples. Trace elements were analyzed as follows (detection limits in brackets): Cd (1 ppm), Co (1 ppm), Pb (2 ppm), Ni (1 ppm), Ag (0.5 ppm), Cr (2 ppm), and V (2 ppm) by direct-current plasma spectrometry; As (0.1 ppm) and Sb (0.1 ppm) by flameless atomic absorption spectrometry; and Au (1 ppb) by fire assay-neutron activation. Precisions for all trace elements are quoted by the analysts as ±20% at 10 x detection limit (d.l.), ±10% at 100 x d.l., and ±5% at >100 x d.l. Cu and Zn were
In none of the samples was it possible to completely separate second generation from first generation mineralization, as both are pervasively developed. In attempting to geochemically characterize the two mineralization types, samples were chosen in which one or the other of the generation of mineralization was seen in hand specimen and/or thin section to be predominant. Samples which lacked undeformed sulphides and Ca-Fe-Mg silicate veins were considered to represent first generation mineralization whereas samples with abundant undeformed alteration silicates and sulphides were considered to represent second generation mineralization.

Element enrichments in the Lake Bond deposit resulting from alteration and mineralization were calculated according to the method of Grant (1986), who simplified Gresens’ (1967) equation for changes in volume and concentration during metasomatism. Hydrothermally altered rocks were compared to unaltered equivalents by plotting altered versus unaltered rock for all components. An ‘ISOCON’, a reference line corresponding to a zero concentration change (essentially passing through all immobile components) was chosen, and relative gains and losses of mobile components were calculated using the displacements of their corresponding data points from the reference isoccon. For this study, altered rocks were compared to relatively unaltered equivalents by basing the slope of the isoccon on constant (or immobile) TiO₂, given by the equation:

\[ \frac{dCA}{Ci_o} = (\frac{C_o TiO_2}{CA TiO_2})(\frac{CA}{Ci_o}) - 1 \]

where \( dCA/Ci_o \) = change (gain or loss) in concentration of a component relative to an unaltered equivalent (a dimensionless variable known as the ‘enrichment factor’); \( i \) = subscript for the component; \( Co \) = reference concentration of the component for relatively unaltered sample; \( CA \) = concentration of component for altered sample.

The unaltered sample chosen for the Lake Bond calculations was a basalt (85SS82-Table 1) from the Burnt Island map unit in the immediate vicinity of the trenches. The rock consists of near-equal proportions of clinopyroxene and plagioclase, with minor epidote, sphene and chlorite. Its composition is representative of other Burnt Island basalt samples in the area and constitutes a best estimate of the composition of the volcanic rocks in the footwall of the deposit (i.e., the principal metal source for volcanogenic mineralization). Geochemical enrichments/depletions of mineralized samples relative to this reference basalt are illustrated in Figure 4.

First generation mineralization is slightly enriched in FeOt and MnO, and depleted in CaO relative to the reference basalt. This is consistent with petrographic observations that pervasive quartz-chlorite alteration is early, and completely replaces primary minerals. Although silicification is a common alteration effect in first generation mineralization, the analytical results indicate that this did not result from net addition of silica to the rock but probably from reprecipitation of silica released by the breakdown of silicate minerals during chlorite-forming reactions. Second generation mineralization also is enriched in FeOt and MnO, but to a much greater extent, reflecting significant quantities of Fe- and Mn-rich sphalerite in the second generation veins. The apparent conservation of CaO in second generation mineralization reflects the abundance of Ca-rich minerals and suggests that CaO lost through first generation mineralizing processes may have been regained through addition of new Ca during second generation mineralization.

Samples representing the first generation mineralization show a minor average enrichment in FeOt and MnO (2x to 4x), and a somewhat greater average enrichment of about 250x Zn, 10x to 40x in Cu, Pb, Au and Cd, and 4x to 5x As, Sb, Ba and Mo with respect to the reference basalt (Fig. 4). There is no relative enrichment in Ag.

Enrichment factors for second generation mineralization, in contrast, show a considerably more substantial enrichment in FeOt and MnO, and in all metals, with Cu, Zn, Pb, and Au enriched between 500x and 3000x, As and Cd enriched >200x, and Ag and Sb enriched approximately 20x to 200x respectively over the reference Burnt Island basalt (Fig. 4). Although no ore-grade Au samples were analyzed during this study, individual grab samples carry up to 470 ppb Au (Table 1). It should be noted that the apparent Mo enrichment in each generation of mineralization results from single anomalous samples (Table 1) and there is no consistent enrichment pattern for this element in either generation.

**Results**
Table 1. Geochemical analyses of samples representative of first and second generation mineralization in the Lake Bond deposit. Composition of the Burnt Island basalt sample used as a reference in enrichment factor calculations is also shown. Major element oxides and loss on ignition in weight percent, Au in parts per billion, other trace elements in parts per million.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>SiO₂</th>
<th>Al₂O₃</th>
<th>FeOₜ</th>
<th>MgO</th>
<th>CaO</th>
<th>Na₂O</th>
<th>K₂O</th>
<th>TiO₂</th>
<th>MnO</th>
<th>P₂O₅</th>
<th>LOI</th>
<th>Cu</th>
<th>Zn</th>
<th>Pb</th>
<th>Ag</th>
<th>Au</th>
<th>As</th>
<th>Sb</th>
<th>Cd</th>
<th>Mo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First Generation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85SS79A</td>
<td>39.60</td>
<td>16.40</td>
<td>17.20</td>
<td>7.04</td>
<td>8.77</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>7.16</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>14110</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85SS79B</td>
<td>41.70</td>
<td>14.20</td>
<td>18.70</td>
<td>7.48</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>8.31</td>
<td>393</td>
<td>22050</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85SS79C</td>
<td>47.60</td>
<td>13.90</td>
<td>14.30</td>
<td>7.42</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>6.77</td>
<td>625</td>
<td>19510</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85SS79D</td>
<td>46.10</td>
<td>14.20</td>
<td>14.90</td>
<td>8.23</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>6.62</td>
<td>1169</td>
<td>22790</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85SS79E</td>
<td>49.50</td>
<td>13.50</td>
<td>14.00</td>
<td>9.49</td>
<td>3.92</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>8.23</td>
<td>523</td>
<td>10610</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85SS79F</td>
<td>41.00</td>
<td>13.90</td>
<td>19.10</td>
<td>7.57</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>6.31</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>22880</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85SS138A</td>
<td>54.70</td>
<td>13.60</td>
<td>14.60</td>
<td>7.01</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>5.54</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>1736</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85SS139</td>
<td>44.20</td>
<td>14.70</td>
<td>14.70</td>
<td>10.90</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>6.85</td>
<td>368</td>
<td>16710</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85SS722C</td>
<td>31.50</td>
<td>17.10</td>
<td>21.90</td>
<td>12.10</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>12.10</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>7457</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85SS722D</td>
<td>12.40</td>
<td>9.59</td>
<td>48.60</td>
<td>6.35</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>21.70</td>
<td>1133</td>
<td>2575</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>180.0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85SS722J</td>
<td>64.80</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>4.07</td>
<td>4.23</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>542</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85SS723</td>
<td>54.40</td>
<td>14.50</td>
<td>9.54</td>
<td>4.37</td>
<td>9.72</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>1321</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>43.96</td>
<td>14.25</td>
<td>17.63</td>
<td>7.68</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>7.77</td>
<td>569</td>
<td>11755</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Deviation</td>
<td>13.11</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>10.79</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>3.31</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>5.20</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>9086</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ref. Basalt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85SS82</td>
<td>42.80</td>
<td>16.40</td>
<td>10.10</td>
<td>10.60</td>
<td>10.10</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>4.62</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 4. Illustration of metasomatic enrichment/depletion of first and second generation alteration and mineralization at the Lake Bond deposit with respect to unaltered Burnt Island basalt (Table 1). See text for description of calculation. First generation, dominantly Cu-Zn, mineralization has resulted in slight to moderate enrichments of FeO, MnO and most metals (except Ag). Second generation mineralization has produced substantially greater absolute enrichments of FeO, MnO and all metals (including Ag). Note, particularly, that in the second generation event, Pb and Au are enriched as much as Zn (in contrast to first generation) and that As is substantially enriched with respect to Sb.

The contrast in metal enrichment patterns is further illustrated in Figure 5, which emphasizes the enrichment of the various metals in each generation relative to total Cu and Zn enrichment. The second generation mineralization is characterized by substantially greater enrichments of Pb, Au, Ag and As relative to Cu and Zn than the first generation mineralization. Enrichments in Sb and Cd were approximately the same or slightly less than in the first generation event. The substantially greater enrichments of these metals relative to Cu + Zn provides a distinctive geochemical fingerprint of the second generation alteration and mineralization and suggests contrasts in either metal sources, fluid histories or depositional processes in the two generations of mineralization.

Sphalerite chemistry

Analytical methods

Sixteen sphalerite grains were analyzed with a Materials Analyses Company Model 400 electron microprobe at the Geological Survey of Canada. On-line computer software calculated mineral formulae and molecular proportions of the chemical constituents. The analyses are in Table 2 and inter-element relationships illustrated in Figure 6.

Results

The data show that the petrographic and chemical distinction of first and second generation alteration and mineralization are supported by the compositions of sphalerites associated with each event. To some extent, contrasts in compositions of the two generations of sphalerite parallel contrasts in whole rock enrichments. First generation sphalerites are relatively Zn-rich, whereas the second generation sphalerites are relatively rich in Fe, Cd and Mn. The scatter exhibited by the second generation sphalerites may be in part due to changes in fluid composition during growth as indicated by growth zoning in individual crystals.
Table 2. Microprobe analyses of sphalerite. All analyses in weight percent. First and second generation mineralization are indicated by F and S respectively. Sphalerites from samples 79A and 139 occur in second generation veins which cut mainly first generation and mineralization.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of Analyses</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generation</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>1.783</td>
<td>2.016</td>
<td>1.963</td>
<td>4.680</td>
<td>4.857</td>
<td>4.573</td>
<td>4.084</td>
<td>5.687</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>64.690</td>
<td>64.643</td>
<td>64.987</td>
<td>61.318</td>
<td>61.164</td>
<td>61.362</td>
<td>61.769</td>
<td>60.118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>0.093</td>
<td>0.092</td>
<td>0.173</td>
<td>0.167</td>
<td>0.187</td>
<td>0.122</td>
<td>0.156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>0.224</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>0.240</td>
<td>0.185</td>
<td>0.285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>33.132</td>
<td>31.107</td>
<td>32.978</td>
<td>33.372</td>
<td>33.474</td>
<td>33.256</td>
<td>33.058</td>
<td>33.481</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>79A-6</th>
<th>139-1</th>
<th>139-2</th>
<th>139-3</th>
<th>139-4</th>
<th>139-5</th>
<th>139-6</th>
<th>139-7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of Analyses</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generation</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>5.035</td>
<td>5.117</td>
<td>5.061</td>
<td>5.024</td>
<td>5.361</td>
<td>5.384</td>
<td>5.184</td>
<td>4.889</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>60.837</td>
<td>59.856</td>
<td>60.144</td>
<td>59.519</td>
<td>60.414</td>
<td>60.750</td>
<td>60.910</td>
<td>61.644</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd</td>
<td>0.207</td>
<td>0.241</td>
<td>0.320</td>
<td>0.232</td>
<td>0.233</td>
<td>0.246</td>
<td>0.228</td>
<td>0.257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>0.196</td>
<td>0.141</td>
<td>0.111</td>
<td>0.157</td>
<td>0.146</td>
<td>0.165</td>
<td>0.176</td>
<td>0.120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>33.319</td>
<td>32.958</td>
<td>33.377</td>
<td>33.298</td>
<td>33.426</td>
<td>33.354</td>
<td>33.578</td>
<td>33.225</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fluid inclusions

Analytical methods

Three samples of second generation hydrothermal minerals, one containing sphalerite and two containing epidote, were studied for fluid inclusions. They were prepared at the Department of Earth Sciences, Memorial University of Newfoundland, as doubly-polished sections 0.5 to 2 mm thick, and were examined under a microscope in order to select suitable inclusions. Microthermometry was carried out using a Fluid Inc. adapted gas-flow U.S.G.S. heating/freezing system at the Department of Earth Sciences, Memorial University of Newfoundland, which had been standardized from -60 to 310°C. Inclusion leakage was tested by repeating runs. All stage-obtained measurements have a precision of 2.5%.

Results

The majority of fluid inclusions lie along growth zone planes in sphalerite, and along radial growth lines in epidote, and are thus considered to be primary (cf. Roedder, 1984). Most of the inclusions have diameters of less than 10 micrometers, and all except one are simple two-phase vapour-liquid inclusions. The relative paucity of reliable measurements reflects the small sizes of the inclusions, and the difficulty of viewing the sphalerite-hosted inclusions because of the deep red colour of the host mineral.

Sphalerite-hosted inclusions have homogenization temperatures ranging from 236 to 353°C, and averaging 306°C (Fig. 7). Epidote-hosted inclusions (not shown on Fig. 7) show a wide scatter and non-normal distribution of homogenization temperatures. Salinities calculated from final ice melting temperatures for epidote (0, 1.6, 1.7, 3.3, 5.2, 10.7 eq. wt. % NaCl) and sphalerite (0.1, 4.1, 4.3, 5.3, 5.7, 7.3, 12.1, 12.8 eq. wt. % NaCl) are widely variable. Estimates of initial ice melting temperatures ranging from -63.9 to -18.4°C, indicating that at least some of the inclusions contain NaCl, KCl, and possibly CaCl₂, as the systems NaCl-H₂O, NaCl-KCl-H₂O and CaCl₂-H₂O have eutectic temperatures of -20.8, -23.2, and -52°C respectively (Crawford, 1981). One inclusion developed a clathrate which melted at +12.5°C.

Spooner (1981) has summarized fluid inclusion data from various types of mineral deposits. Fluid inclusions from epithermal Au-Ag-Cu-Pb-Zn veins or replacement deposits, deposits associated with igneous intrusions, and Archean lode gold deposits have homogenization temperature ranges of 200-330°C, 200-400°C and 200-490°C respectively, and salinity ranges of 0.5-12%, 5.0-10.0% and 2.0-4.0 eq. wt. % NaCl, respectively. Our fluid inclusion data do not constrain the Lake Bond second generation mineralization to any of these types. The observed clathrate had a melting temperature of +12.5°C, and, therefore, may have been the CO₂ clathrate phase, CO₂⁴/₃H₂O. If this is the case, it supports interpretations from petrography and geochemistry that CO₂ was a constituent of the second generation fluids.
DISCUSSION

Base and precious metal metallogeny of central Newfoundland

Cambrian and Early Ordovician volcanogenic massive sulphide (VMS) mineralization is widespread in oceanic volcanic sequences of central Newfoundland (e.g., Dean, 1978; Swinden and Kean, 1984; Swinden et al., 1988). There are more than 30 deposits with quoted production and/or reserves of more than 200,000 tonnes and scores of minor deposits and occurrences. Base and precious metal contents and ratios vary widely among the deposits, and the relative contents of the various metals is closely related to the composition of volcanic rocks in the underlying volcanic sequences. Copper, zinc and gold are most abundant in deposits with dominantly mafic and ultramafic substrates whereas increasing abundances of felsic volcanic rocks in the substrate are correlated with relative enrichments in Pb and Ag (Swinden and Kean, 1984; Swinden and Thorpe, 1984; Swinden et al., 1988).

However, it has been recognized in recent years that there is a second regional metallogenic event in Central Newfoundland, in which auriferous mineralization is associated with linear structural features of regional extent. This mineralizing event, probably Silurian to Early Devonian (Tuach et al., 1988), is responsible for recently-discovered gold deposits on the Baie Verte Peninsula (Gower et al., 1988; Tuach et al., 1988), western White Bay (Tuach, 1986, 1987; Tuach and French, 1986; Saunders and Tuach, 1988), the eastern boundary of the Dunnage Zone (e.g., Little River, Meikle, 1955; Dickson, 1988) and perhaps the Hope Brook and Cape Ray deposits in southern Newfoundland (Wilton and Strong, 1986; O'Brien, 1989). The event produced structurally-controlled, lode and disseminated type deposits which commonly contain minor enrichments in Cu or Zn, but substantial enrichments in Au, As and Sb. This metal association also is reflected in regional patterns of lake sediment geochemistry (Davenport, 1988; Davenport and McConnell, 1988; Davenport and Nolan, 1989); extensive Au, Sb and locally As and W anomalies form geochemical haloes along the traces of major auriferous structures throughout central Newfoundland. These patterns, well documented in the Baie Verte Peninsula, White Bay, and eastern Dunnage Zone areas, have been tentatively related to the existence of large hydrothermal systems operating along these major structures (Davenport and Nolan, 1989).

Deposits of the two types occur in proximity to each other in at least two areas. On the Baie Verte Peninsula, well known VMS deposits in mafic-dominated volcanic sequences such as the Rambler deposits (Tuach and Kennedy, 1978; Tuach, 1988b), Tilt Cove (Hurley and Crocket, 1985; Saunders and Strong, 1988) and others are closely associated spatially with younger lode gold mineralization such as the Deer Cove deposit (Gower et al., 1988). The Victoria Lake Group in south-central Newfoundland hosts the well known VMS deposits of the Tults Valley (Barbour and Thurlow, 1982; Kean and Evans, 1986) as well as younger auriferous deposits in shear zones characterized...
by aluminous and potassic alteration (e.g., Midas Pond, Evans and Kean, 1987). In both areas, the later, epigenetic auriferous mineralizing event is distinguished from the VMS deposits by distinctive alteration patterns, relative enrichments in Au, As and/or Sb and structural style.

Kerrich and Hodder (1982) showed that the two principal types of Archean Au deposits, lode gold and volcanogenic massive sulphides, show somewhat similar contrasts in metal enrichment patterns. Massive sulphides tend to show considerably greater enrichments of Cu and Zn relative to gold than the lode deposits, which are commonly gold-rich with minor base metals. Lode deposits also are locally enriched in As, Sb and/or granophile elements such as W relative to the host volcanic rocks. Kerrich and Fryer (1981) and Kerrich and Hodder (1982) attributed these contrasting enrichment patterns to contrasts in both source areas and fluid histories of the two types of mineralization.

Kerrich and Hodder (1982) presented a diagram to distinguish Archean lode gold from massive sulphide deposits based on relative enrichments of Au, Cu and Zn. When plotted on this diagram (not shown), most second generation samples from Lake Bond plot close to the massive sulphide rather than the lode gold field, reflecting the fact that, unlike typical Archean lode deposits, they are substantially enriched in Cu + Zn as well as Au. Because epigenetic mineralization at Lake Bond is superimposed on an earlier Cu-Zn deposit, this may reflect remobilization and reconcentration of Cu and Zn during the later event. This being the case, some of the distinction between lode Au and base metal processes in the Lake Bond deposit that would have resulted from contrasting source rocks and fluid histories may have been severely modified (and the distinctions blurred) by processes at the depositional site.

### Origin of two-stage mineralization at Lake Bond

The characteristics of the two mineralizing events recognized at Lake Bond are summarized in Table 3. Comparisons with analogous deposits elsewhere in central Newfoundland and with possible Archean analogues where volcanogenic and later lode gold deposits coexist (e.g., Kerrich and Fryer, 1981; Kerrich and Hodder, 1982) suggest that this deposit comprises an early volcanogenic stockwork style of mineralization which has been overprinted by a later hydrothermal event related to folding and shearing. This model for two-stage mineralization is illustrated in Figure 8.

During the first stage of mineralization, circulation of sea water in a sub-seafloor hydrothermal cell leached metals from the volcanic substrate in approximately the proportions in which they were present in the source rocks (cf. Kerrich and Hodder, 1982). Ascent of the heated water to near the sea floor resulted in pervasive chloritization and pyritization of the basalts and a locally more intense quartz-sericite alteration. Pyrite, Fe-Cd-Mn-poor sphalerite and chalcopyrite were deposited. This mineralizing event, if it is indeed volcanogenic, must be about the same age as the host rocks, approximately 473 Ma (Dunning et al., 1987).

At some later time, the Robert’s Arm Group was penetratively deformed, sheared and dismembered. The main stage of deformation involved shearing of the volcanic rocks and the pre-

---

**Table 3. Summary of geological and geochemical contrasts between first and second generation mineralization at the Lake Bond deposit. Mineral abbreviations as in Figure 3.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>First Generation</th>
<th>Second Generation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Form of Mineralization</strong></td>
<td>Whole-rock replacement, disseminations and veins</td>
<td>Veins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ore Mineralogy</strong></td>
<td>Py, Sp, Cp</td>
<td>Sp, Cp, Gn ± Py ± Au</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gangue Mineralogy</strong></td>
<td>Ch, Qz, ±Se</td>
<td>Ep, Ca, Qz, Cz, Ga</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sphalerite Chemistry</strong></td>
<td>Relatively Zn-rich</td>
<td>Relatively Fe, Cd, Mn rich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chemical changes (Enrichments or Depletions)</strong></td>
<td>+FeOt, MnO; -CaO</td>
<td>+FeOt, MnO, K2O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+Cu, Zn ± Cd</td>
<td>+Cu, Zn, Pb, Ag, Au, As, Cd, ±Mo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fluid Inclusions</strong></td>
<td>N. A.</td>
<td>TH = ~306°C, Salinity-variable, &lt;13 eq. wt. % NaCl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Style of Deformation</strong></td>
<td>Pre-Kinematic Sheared and Foliated</td>
<td>Syn- to Post-Kinematic Some veins sheared and banded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Timing of Mineralization</strong></td>
<td>Early Ordovician</td>
<td>Probably Early Silurian</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
existing volcanogenic sulphide deposits, and, at least in its latter stages, accompanied by renewed hydrothermal activity. The second generation hydrothermal fluids deposited epidote-chlorite-garnet, pyrite, Fe-Cd-Mn-rich sphalerite, chalcopyrite and minor galena in syn- and post-tectonic vein systems and stockworks, overprinting the pre-existing sulphide stockwork and extending beyond it for some distance. Although the Ca-Fe-Mg silicate veins were deposited over a wider area than the earlier alteration, most of the base and precious metal-bearing second generation veins were confined within the pre-existing sulphide deposit. This may be due either to the reducing nature of the rocks in the sulphide-rich zone or to the local availability of sulphur. Some first generation Zn and Cu (and perhaps other metals as well, e.g., Cd, Sb) were probably remobilized from the pre-existing deposit to form second generation sphalerite and chalcopyrite, possibly explaining the anomalous enrichment of Cu and Zn in these deposits relative to Archean lode gold deposits.

Fluid inclusion data suggest that the second stage hydrothermal fluids were approximately 300°C, contained less than about 13 eq. wt. % NaCl (although this is not well constrained by our data), and were probably CO₂-bearing. The Ca-Fe-Mg vein silicates place further constraints on the fluid temperatures and source(s). Calc-silicates are an important group of rock- and vein-forming minerals throughout geothermal areas with widely differing protoliths (i.e., volcanic, sedimentary, metamorphic rocks) and brine compositions (Bird et al., 1984). In these settings, epidote, clinozoisite and tremolite-actinolite usually occur within the range of temperatures from 200°C to greater than 300°C, whereas garnet typically is found at temperatures greater than 300°C.

The timing of the second generation mineralizing event can be relatively well constrained by geological data. The main schistosity in the SRAG also affected fossiliferous Lower Silurian flysch (the Sansom Greywacke and equivalents) and the mineralization must be younger than this. First deformation fabrics in the Robert’s Arm Group near Great Gull Pond are overprinted by a syn- to post-tectonic metamorphic overprint related to intrusion of the Twin Lakes granodiorite (Upadhyay and Smitheringale, 1972), on which there is a preliminary U/Pb (zircon) age of approximately 435 Ma (G.R. Dunning, B.J. Fryer, personal communication, 1989), early Silurian according to most Paleozoic time scales. The second generation mineralization is, therefore, probably early Silurian in age. This is consistent with evidence for timing of gold mineralization in western White Bay (Tuach, 1987; Saunders and Tuach, 1988) and in the Victoria Lake Group (Kean and Evans, 1988) suggesting that mineralization in these three areas was probably related to the same, regionally extensive, metallogenic event.

The anomalous enrichments in Pb and Ag with respect to Cu and Zn in the second generation mineralization may indicate that some of the second generation metal sources were sialic rather than oceanic volcanic. This is consistent with the interpretation of this mineralizing event as a regional hydrothermal event, related to post-accretion (i.e., post-cratonization) shearing and strike-slip reactivation of major faults. Deep metal sources at this time would have included continental crust, probably Grenvillian, upon which the volcanic sequences were emplaced during the Taconian orogeny (Marillier et al., 1989). However, the contrasts in metal enrichments and alteration patterns between the two types of mineralization may also require substantial contrasts in fluid histories and depositional processes.

COMPARISON WITH OTHER PROSPECTS IN THE SOUTHERN ROBERT’S ARM GROUP

The recognition of a two-stage mineralization history in the Lake Bond deposit has significant consequences for exploration in the SRAG and, indeed, for the whole Buchans-Robert’s Arm belt. Previously considered as prospective for volcanogenic base metal deposits only, this belt would appear to be also prospective for epigenetic gold mineralization. The fact that, at least in the Lake Bond area, the second generation auriferous mineralization
has locally overprinted the earlier volcanogenic event, suggests that all alteration zones in the area (Fig. 2, see Swinden, 1988 for detailed descriptions) should be re-evaluated as possible gold targets. Although data are not complete, indications are that at least some of these deposits deserve particular attention.

The Handcamp Prospect

The Handcamp Prospect, approximately 10.5 km north of Gullbridge, comprises a roughly 50 m wide alteration zone containing chalcopyrite, sphalerite, galena, and sporadic concentrations of gold and silver. It has been traced for about 1200 m along strike. Hudson and Swinden (1989) recently have described detailed mapping and geochemical studies in the vicinity of this occurrence.

Although there may be pre-tectonic alteration and mineralization at Handcamp, the evidence is not as clear as at Lake Bond. Petrographic observations indicate that pre- or syn-kinematic disseminated pyrite, magnetite, and minor sphalerite and chalcopyrite are associated with quartz-sericite-pyrite alteration assemblages. Syn- and post-kinematic veins contain pyrite, magnetite, zoned sphalerite, barite, with minor galena and chalcopyrite in a gangue rich in Ca-Mg-Fe silicates and carbonates, including epidote, garnet, tremolite, quartz, wollastonite, calcite, clinohumite and magnetite. Epidote alteration is common to all lithologies, and extends beyond the limits of sulphide mineralization.

The patterns of metal enrichment at Handcamp are similar to the second generation mineralization at Lake Bond (Fig. 9). Substantial enrichments of C and Zn are paralleled by Pb, Ag and Au, and the "gold tracers", As and Sb. Cd was only enriched in a couple of samples and could not be used in the metasomatic calculations. As the evidence for a pre-tectonic mineralizing event was not clear, it was impossible to separate 'pre-tectonic' ('primary') samples for metasomatic calculations.

Syn- and post-kinematic mineralization at Handcamp apparently is related to intense shearing which is parallel to, and probably the same generation as, the deformation related to second generation mineralization at Lake Bond.

Hudson and Swinden (1989) interpreted the Handcamp Prospect to be a structurally controlled, probably Silurian, shear-hosted deposit. They noted that this was the first documentation of this deposit type in the Buchans-Robert's Arm belt, although there are examples in adjacent sequences to the east and west which currently are being intensively explored. In view of the close geological, petrological and geochemical similarity between the auriferous mineralization at Handcamp and the second generation mineralization at Lake Bond, it seems reasonable to correlate them. The Silurian auriferous mineralizing event that is receiving extensive exploration elsewhere in Newfoundland, would seem to be more widespread in the Buchans-Robert's Arm Belt than has been recognized previously.

Mineral Point Alteration Zone

The Gullbridge and Southwest Shaft deposits are the principal deposits in a sheared alteration zone that can be traced for more than 4 km along strike (Swinden and Sacks, 1986; Swinden, 1988, fig. 2). Both deposits are dominantly cupriferous, with lesser pyrite, pyrrhotite and minor sphalerite, hosted by mafic volcanics with a cordierite-anthophyllite-chlorite alteration assemblage that apparently reflects thermal metamorphism of a volcanogenic stockwork zone (Upadhyay and Smitheringale, 1972; Bachinski, 1973, 1976).

There are no reported examples of anomalous Au contents in samples from the Gullbridge Mine. However, anomalous gold contents (up to 590 ppb) have been detected in samples collected from the dump at the Southeast Shaft deposit (Swinden, 1988). These auriferous samples are all cut by post-kinematic veins containing chalcopyrite, pyrite and randomly oriented biotite. Similar veins were documented in the Gullbridge deposit by Upadhyay (1970) who also reported local occurrences of garnet, diopside, vesuvianite, and late sphalerite and galena. No gold data are available for these samples.

Deformed samples without post-kinematic veins in the Southwest Shaft area, as well as samples of this nature collected from the mine dumps at Gullbridge, always contain <100 ppb Au (see also Swinden, 1988). Elevated Au contents at Southwest Shaft are accompanied by elevated Mo (up to 26 ppm), and to a lesser extent, Co (up to 960 ppm); the samples show variable enrichments of Cu (up to >4000 ppm), Ba (up to 18800 ppm) and As (up to 280 ppm) with generally low Pb, Ag and Sb.

Considering the preference that the auriferous mineralization seems to show for pre-existing sulphide zones in the Lake Bond area, a re-evaluation of these deposits may be appropriate,
looking for evidence of Au-bearing zones within the earlier volcanogenic sulphide occurrences. A detailed study for evidence of post-kinematic mineralization and possible gold enrichments would appear to be warranted.

**West Zone**

The West Zone consists of sulphide-rich zones, up to 40 cm wide, in highly silicified and sheared felsic volcanic rocks. A massive pod of pyrite, 50 cm by 10 cm, is wedged into the altered rocks. Minor, post-kinematic, sphalerite-bearing veinlets are present locally.

The occurrence contains elevated gold values (230 ppb, Swinden, 1988) in a single sample, accompanied by anomalous Pb (52 ppm), Ag (43 ppm), As (100 ppm) and Mo (60 ppm). By analogy with the Lake Bond and Handcamp areas, these minor gold enrichments may be related to the same syn- to post-kinematic Au-mineralizing event. Further evaluation of this and other similar alteration zones with related shearing throughout the SRAG is clearly warranted.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Detailed geological, petrological and geochemical studies of the Lake Bond deposit reveal a two-stage sulphide mineralization history. Pre-kinematic pyrite and base metal sulphide mineralization associated with pervasive chloritization is interpreted to represent an Early Ordovician volcanogenic mineralizing event. Syn- and post-kinematic mineralization is characterized by anomalous enrichments in Au, Pb and Ag and is associated with Ca-Mg-Fe carbonates and silicates in the gangue. Mineral assemblages in the gangue and sphalerite fluid inclusion data suggest that this was a relatively high temperature event and it is interpreted to record an early Silurian epigenetic event, related to hydrothermal activity accompanying movement on major faults and shear zones.

The two generations of mineralization are distinguished geochemically by element enrichments in the gangue and by metal enrichment patterns in the sulphides. Sphalerites from the two major mineralization stages at Lake Bond have distinct compositions that parallel compositional differences in the whole rocks. These distinct geochemical associations probably reflect differences in both fluid genesis and source areas. Earlier deformed rocks contain Cu-Zn mineralization, more indicative of a volcanogenic origin and derivation of the metals from the oceanic, dominantly mafic, volcanic pile. The fact that Cu, Zn and Au are enriched to a similar degree over the source basalts in the first generation event may reflect the action of halogen-rich sea water and relatively high water-rock ratios (e.g., Kerrich and Hodder, 1982) in which the solubility of the base metals is not limited by the availability of chloride for complexing. The enrichment in Pb, Au, As, and Ag relative to Cu and Zn in the second generation is similar to that in the probably epigenetic Handcamp deposit to the north and is reminiscent of mesotherm thermal lode gold deposits (Kerrich and Hodder, 1982; Kerrich, 1989). Substantial absolute enrichments of Cu and Zn in the second generation event may partly reflect reconcentration of earlier Cu and Zn. The enrichment of Pb and Ag may suggest a sialic crustal source for the metals, consistent with their deposition following accretion of the host oceanic volcanic rocks to the North American continental margin. Alternatively, these enrichments may reflect different hydrothermal fluid histories. The association of Ca-Mg-Fe silicates with the second generation sulphide veins and fluid inclusion data suggest fluid temperatures of >200°C, and possibly as high as >300°C. The ubiquitous presence of carbonate suggests that CO₂-rich fluids may have been prevalent in the mineralizing process. Further fluid inclusion work may substantiate this, as only one CO₂-bearing clathrate has been detected to date.

The spatial association of Au-bearing veins with earlier, deformed sulphide zones suggests that the latter may have supplied metals and sulphur to the Au-bearing fluids. This may explain the anomalous enrichment of Cu and Zn in second generation mineralization at Lake Bond relative to most lode gold deposits (Kerrich and Hodder, 1982). Au precipitation may have been favoured by the presence of sulphides. Exploration efforts directed at identifying second generation mineralization overprinting older volcanogenic sulphide zones may meet with success.

The identification of the syn- to post-kinematic mineralization in the SRAG suggests that current models of metallogenesis in central Newfoundland as a whole (Tuach et al., 1988), involving Cambrian to mid-Ordovician base metal-rich volcanogenic mineralization, succeeded by Silurian or later auriferous mineralization associated with shearing and movement on major faults, can be applied to the Buchans-Roberts Arm belt. The volcanogenic association in the Lake Bond deposit, in the broadest sense, can be viewed as reflecting processes typical of the pre-accretion and post-accretion orogen, respectively, as represented in the Central Newfoundland Appalachians.
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