INTRODUCTION

Previous studies in the South Mountain Batholith (SMB) have indicated that it is massive, generally lacking significant primary or secondary structure. Limited assessment of primary flow features (McKenzie, 1974; Abbott, in Clarke and Muecke, 1980) and joint and dyke patterns (McKenzie, 1974; Charest, 1979) documented some systematic patterns to these structural elements; however, none of these studies considered these patterns with respect to the geology of the batholith.

Flow features within granitic rocks (schlieren banding, megacryst and xenolith alignment, and biotite foliation) are generally considered to result from differential flow related to intrusion, developing as planar features (Balk, 1937; Martin, 1953; Hutchinson, 1956; Davis, 1963; Allen, 1966; Marre, 1986). Thus, patterns of flow features usually reflect the geometry of the intrusions.

Primary joints within granitic rocks reflect the ambient stress at time of intrusion. The stress can be both local and related to emplacement and cooling of the intrusion and/or regional and related to regional tectonism. Fracture systems related to emplacement and cooling are generally characterized by complex concentric and/or radial patterns (Knapp and Norton, 1981; Koide and Bhattacharji, 1975) and are suggested to be geometrically related to primary flow features (Balk, 1937; Martin, 1953; Hutchinson, 1956; Davis, 1963; Allen, 1966; Marre, 1986). In contrast fractures related to regional stress generally exhibit regionally consistent linear trends and display a uniform relationship to regional tectonic trends (e.g., those associated with Laramide intrusions in Arizona: Heidrick and Titley, 1982; Rehrig and Heidrick, 1972).

The present study results from recent 1:50,000 scale geological mapping of the eastern portion of
the SMB (MacDonald et al., 1987), during which attitudes of structural elements (flow features, joints, dykes, veins) were collected. This paper addresses the patterns reflected by flow features and fractures in the eastern portion of the SMB. The joint patterns presented here are, for the most part, represented by trends defined by maxima on stereonet plots.

REGIONAL SETTING

The SMB is a large (approximately 10,000 km²), peraluminous, arcuate-shaped, composite batholith which underlies much of southwestern Nova Scotia (Fig. 1). The depth of intrusion has been estimated at between 4-10 km (i.e., epizonal; McKenzie and Clarke, 1975). The SMB intruded Cambro-Ordovician metasedimentary rocks of the Meguma Group which consist of metavulcanics of the lower Goldenville Formation and slates and siltstones of the overlying Halifax Formation. Along its northern margin, the SMB also intruded Ordovician to Lower Devonian metasedimentary and metavolcanic rocks of the White Rock, Kentville, New Canaan and Torbrook Formations, which conformably overlie the Meguma Group. This sequence was folded into northeast-trending (in the study area), upright, doubly-plunging folds during the mid-Devonian Acadian Orogeny. The axes of these folds define the dominant structural fabric in the Meguma Terrane (Fig. 1). Regional metamorphism grades within the Meguma Group ranges from lower greenschist to lower amphibolite facies and is locally overprinted by low pressure contact metamorphism related to granite intrusion, manifested in the development of a hornblende-hornfels facies aureole (Taylor and Schiller, 1966). The SMB truncates the regional fold trends, is generally unfoliated and hence post-dates the major deformational events of the Acadian Orogeny (Wright, 1931; McKenzie and Clarke, 1975). A weak foliation of cordierite in the contact aureole (Hamner in; Clarke and Halliday, 1980) suggests a late, syn- to post-tectonic emplacement.

Paleontological evidence from the Torbrook Formation and Lower Carboniferous strata, which are, respectively, intruded by and unconformably overlying the SMB, bracket the intrusion, uplift and erosion of the SMB to the interval between Emsian and Tournaisian time. Uplift must, therefore, have been relatively rapid. Isotopic dating (Clarke and Halliday, 1980; Reynolds et al., 1981) concurs with this evidence and indicates a range from 372 Ma to 361 Ma for the intrusion of the batholith.

GEOLOGY OF THE SMB

The geology of the eastern portion of the SMB has recently been described by MacDonald et al. (1987) and a summary of this work is presented here (Fig. 2). Granitoid rocks exposed in the study area have been divided into eight lithologic groups: (percentage of total exposed granite in brackets) (1) mafic porphyry (<1%), (2) granodiorite (16%), (3) biotite monzogranite (34%), (4) muscovite-biotite monzogranite (13%), (5) medium- to coarse-grained, megacrystic leucomonzogranite (25%), (6) equigranular to porphyritic leucomonzogranite (10%), (7) intrusive suites (1%), and (8) leucogranite and leucogranite suites (1%). Each of these groups is represented by several discrete mappable units exhibiting both sharp and gradational contacts, suggesting a complex intrusive history. For complete unit descriptions see MacDonald et al. (1987).

Within the map area the SMB includes three composite plutons. These consist predominantly of leucocomonzogranite with lesser amounts of muscovite-biotite monzogranite and leucogranite and have intruded an envelope of biotite monzogranite and
granodiorite. The plutons are referred to as the Halifax Pluton (HP), the New Ross Pluton (NRP) and the East Dalhousie Pluton (EDP) (Fig. 2). The HP and NRP are roughly circular in outline whereas the EDP is linear in shape. Field relationships between the NRP and EDP and the envelope of biotite monzogranite and granodiorite are everywhere sharp. However, both sharp and gradational contacts are evident between rocks of the HP and its mafic envelope.

**EMPLACEMENT OF THE SMB**

Contacts with the country rock are sharp and discordant and there is generally no appreciable emplacement-related foliation in either the granitic or country rocks. Minor exceptions are found in the local parallelism of megacrysts to contacts and minor deformation of the Meguma Group in the Chester Basin area (McKenzie, 1974; O'Brien, 1986).

Regional stratigraphy and structural elements within the Meguma Group generally show minimal deflection or distortion due to the presence of the SMB and can be traced across embayments of granitic rock. Large inliers of Meguma Group rocks (roof pendants?), such as the one along the northwestern edge of the NRP (Fig. 2), display structural conformity with regional trends. A single exception is found in a "transverse anticycle" and "transverse syncline" mapped by Faribault (1908) at the eastern end of the SMB, near Halifax. These structures are oriented perpendicular to the regional trend, suggesting possible lateral thrusting due to granite intrusion (Wright, 1931). The above features, coupled with an abundance of xenoliths (country rock?) which show no obvious spatial relation to country rock contacts, have been interpreted to indicate that magmatic stoping was the dominant mechanism of intrusion for the SMB (Wright, 1931; McKenzie 1974).

**PRIMARY FLOW STRUCTURES WITHIN THE SOUTH MOUNTAIN BATHOLITH**

Primary flow features are generally not abundant within the SMB, probably reflecting the passive style of its emplacement. However, some of the features and the patterns they define are discussed below.

**Schlieren Banding**

Schlieren banding, generally consisting of biotite-rich layers and less common banding of leucocratic phases, occurs sporadically throughout the batholith. It is most common in biotite monzogranite and granodiorite. Schlieren bands range from less than a metre to several metres and, occasionally, several tens of metres, in length. They are most abundant close to intrusive contacts which they commonly parallel, and are considered to have developed in the plane of flow. As most schlieren is observed only on horizontal outcrop surfaces, its three-dimensional configuration is rarely known.
Flow Concentrations of Megacrysts and/or Xenoliths

Small discrete areas (approximately 1-10 m²) consisting of concentrations of megacrysts and/or xenoliths are common in some units throughout the SMB. They are thought to represent physical separation and concentration of larger elements within the magma. These features are not planar and no apparent cause for their localization has been determined.

Megacryst-Xenolith Alignment

Megacrystic units locally exhibit a weak to moderate alignment of megacrysts which is considered to have resulted from parallel alignment of the larger crystal faces with the flow plane of the magma. If so, these features represent flow foliations. Because of the difficulty in determining the crystallographic axes of megacrysts on flat outcrops, the linear component of this foliation, if present, remains unknown. The development of megacryst alignment is not uniform on either outcrop or regional scale. Locally elongate (tabular?) xenoliths are oriented parallel to the megacryst alignment.

Megacrysts locally align parallel to contacts; however, this is not a common feature and no evidence of flow foliation is generally present at contacts. In other areas, megacryst alignment defines 'swirl' patterns on an outcrop scale. These have been interpreted to represent "convective motion" (McKenzie, 1974). Abbott (in Clarke and Muecke, 1980, p. 30) mapped large scale (hundreds of metres) concentric patterns in the muscovite-biotite monzogranite around Chebucto Head which he attributed to convective processes.

Regional Flow Patterns

Regional patterns defined by megacryst alignment and schlieren banding can be identified in three areas. Measurements of megacryst alignments used to define regional patterns are limited to those which are consistent in orientation on the outcrop scale:

i) Within the rocks of the HP, preferred orientations of megacrysts trend parallel to the contacts of the pluton, and thus define a crudely concentric pattern (Fig. 3). Schlieren banding typically complements megacryst alignment, both in distribution and orientation. Although only poorly and locally developed, the pattern suggests differential flow past the wall rocks during emplacement.

ii) The preferred alignment of megacrysts within the leucomonzogranite of the NRP also defines a concentric pattern parallel to the contacts of the pluton (Fig. 3) and is similarly attributed to differential flow during emplacement.

iii) A preferred northeast-trending alignment of megacrysts is common in the northwestern part of the study area, particularly in the biotite monzogranite and granodiorite west of the EDP. As evident in Figure 3, the occurrence of flow features is much denser here than elsewhere in the SMB. The northeasterly trend roughly parallels country rock-granite contacts, granite-granite contacts and fold axes within the country rocks. Elongate xenoliths and a local, well defined, vertical biotite foliation (Smitheringale, 1973) parallels and enhances this flow pattern.

The linear pattern of flow features in this area contrasts sharply with the circular patterns associated with the NRP and HP. Regardless of the interpretation of the development of megacryst-xenolith alignment and schlieren banding, this contrast clearly separates the area into two distinct domains (east and west). The separation of these 'domains' is consistent with the general geometric style of intrusions in the study area, concentric in the east and northeast-linear in the west (Fig. 2), and thus can be approximated by a line along the northwestern side of the NRP and the contact between the granodiorite and biotite monzogranite units north of the NRP (Figs. 2, 3). The separation of these domains is broadly consistent with, and may reflect, the Tobatic Fault Zone (Fig. 1; Giles, 1986).

Faults

Herring Cove Fault

The Herring Cove fault (HCF) (MacDonald and Horne, 1987) is a major northwest-trending structure extending along the northeastern boundary of the HP (Fig. 2). Deformation is characterized by brittle features involving significant grain size reduction and accompanied pervasive chloritization and lesser hematitization within the fault zone. Sinistral displacement of at least one kilometre is suggested by the offset of contacts between megacrystic leucomonzogranite and muscovite-biotite monzogranite in the area of Herring Cove (Fig. 2).

The HCF parallels and may be genetically linked to several sinistral transverse faults which offset folds within the Meguma Group. These are particularly common east of the SMB (Cameron, 1956; Fyson, 1966; Keppie, 1979) and have been suggested to record early Mesozoic deformation within the Meguma Terrane (Keppie, 1987).

East Dalhousie Fault Zone

The East Dalhousie Fault Zone (EDFZ) (MacDonald et al., 1987) is a northeast-trending brittle structure located within the EDP. Deformation resembles that within the HCF. Faulting is manifest largely within a wedge of biotite monzogranite(?) which bisects and roughly parallels the EDP over a distance of approximately 17 km. This wedge is locally in faulted contact with rocks of the EDP, indicating therefore that faulting post-dated intrusion of the EDP. The elongate outcrop expression of the EDFZ suggests it was intruded along a linear feature and the coincidence of this linear feature with the EDFZ suggests a link between the two. However, there are insufficient data to speculate on the relative ages of intrusion of the EDP and the EDFZ.

The small linear leucomonzogranite bodies northeast of the NRP also trend northeast and evidence of faulting within them has been documented by O'Reilly (1987). O'Reilly (1987) suggested that these bodies intruded a northeast-trending fracture system and that post Emplacement shearing occurred along this fracture system.
Fig. 3. Distribution and orientation of flow features (aligned megacrysts, schlieren banding and biotite foliation) in the eastern portion of the South Mountain Batholith.

**JOINTS, DYKES AND VEINS**

The attitudes of joints, dykes and veins were routinely recorded as part of 1:50,000 scale mapping of the eastern portion of the SMB. Generally 1-3 joint measurements were recorded at each outcrop and the attitudes of most dykes and veins were also measured. There was no pre-designed procedure for joint selection and, although only a limited number of joints were measured at any one outcrop, the number of outcrops examined was large (>1000) and thus the data set is considered to be representative of the dominant joint trends.

The distribution of data is not uniform due to variation in the number of measurements and the relative density of outcrop. However, the variation is not more than 2:1 and does not appear to affect the resultant patterns.

The relative age and geometric relations between the various structural elements were rarely recorded so little quantitative information is known concerning the relationships between them. There was also no systematic attempt to classify joint type and thus the resulting data set is a composite of fracture types. Fracture patterns, therefore, may reflect the combined influence of primary and secondary fractures resulting from both local and regional stress systems.

Joint, dyke, and vein data sets were created for each of the map units (Fig. 2) and subsequently compiled into larger sets, the selection of which is detailed below. Computer generated Schmidt stereonets (H.A.K. Charlesworth, unpublished computer program for stereonet) of density contoured poles to planes were used to assess the joint, dyke, and vein orientations.

**Joints**

The term joint refers to planar fractures along which little or no displacement has occurred. However, for the purpose of this paper, joint sets are a composite of fractures, dykes, and veins. Joints are best observed in vertical sections such as those exposed along major highways. Most exposure in the SMB, however, is relatively flat and does not present jointing well. Flat-lying joints in particular have probably been largely overlooked due to unfavorable exposure; in many vertical exposures a well-developed subhorizontal joint set is present. In general, the character of the joints is lithology controlled, with the best developed joints occurring within fine- to medium-grained equigranular units where a blocky joint pattern is common. Jointing within the coarser grained units is usually less apparent although vertical sections in such rocks commonly reveal well-developed joints. Lithologic control of joint development in granites has also been documented by Fireman (1960) and Suryanarayana (1978).

Joints have been compiled into a number of subsets representing certain intrusive features within the study area, in order to assess the possible influence of emplacement related stresses on joint formation (Knapp and Norton, 1981; Koido and Bhattacharji, 1975; Baik, 1937; Martin, 1953; Hutchinson, 1956; Davis, 1963; Allen, 1966; Marre, 1986). The most obvious are the three major composite plutons (HP, NRP and EDP), which are considered to represent distinct intrusive events, each having a separate history of emplacement and cooling. Additionally, data subsets were also created for the east and west half of the study area, according to the division based on intrusion.
geometry and flow patterns discussed above, and for the entire area.

Stereonets of the above data sets (Figs. 4a-f) indicate that steeply dipping, roughly orthogonal trends (northeast and northwest) are the dominant joints in all data sets. These trends are represented by well developed maxima or submaxima of a paired maximum. A synoptic plot from each of the 1:50,000 scale map sheets, as well as plots for selected individual map units (Horne, 1987; Horne, unpublished data), are also dominated by the same trends. Published joint analyses for the central portion of the SMB (McKenzie, 1974; Fig. 5a), the northwestern portion of the study area (Smitheringale, 1973; Table 1), and the area around New Ross (Charest, 1979; Fig. 5c) also indicate trends consistent with those of this study. Thus, regardless of scale or geology, similar joint trends exist throughout the study area.

Table 1 summaries joint trends by listing the orientations of joint maxima and submaxima for each of the above data sets. Five separate joint trends have been identified, all of which are steeply dipping and will be referred to only by strike orientation.

The most persistent fracture trends occurring in all data sets are trends 2 (063°–065°) and 4 (144°–146°), forming a nearly orthogonal pair of joint sets parallel and perpendicular to the regional fold trends, respectively. These joint trends represent conspicuous features in many outcrops and a qualitative description based on observations from selected locations is given below.

### Trend 2 Joints

Trend 2 joints are generally poorly developed, have irregular, curvilinear surfaces and are irregularly spaced. They are locally tightly spaced (0.1–1 cm) and can develop spaced fracture cleavage. Where this occurs the rock appears sheared, although xenoliths and megacrysts within zones of fracture cleavage are not displaced. Fracture cleavage is most commonly observed within leucosome-zonogranite units and is usually accompanied by intense alteration (hematitization). Many of these NE-SW trending altered fracture zones host significant uranium mineralization (e.g., Millet Brook uranium deposit).

### Trend 4 Joints

Trend 4 joints form the dominant set in many areas. They are generally well developed and regularly spaced (1–2 m) with straight continuous joint planes. Individual joints have been observed to extend for hundreds of metres and in many areas a ridge and swale topography is controlled by these joints. Joint surfaces are commonly encrusted with sericite ± chlorite ± fluorite ± pyrite, and joints of this set are the dominant hosts of quartz veins, greisens, and dykes (Table 1).

The relationship between joint sets 2 and 4 is locally well exposed. On Whale Lake, at the southwestern corner of the NRP, a well developed northwest-trending joint set (trend 4) forms the dominant structure. These joints are locally filled with aplite dykes, quartz veins (some of which are mineralized) or crusts of sericite. Veins within trend 4 joints cross-cut (post-date) a
Table 1. Summary of joint, dyke and vein trends in the eastern part of the South Mountain Batholith (SMB). SMB = entire study area; East and West = the eastern and western halves of the study area as discussed in text; HP = Halifax Pluton; NRP = New Ross Pluton; EDP = East Dalhousie Pluton.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>JOINTS</th>
<th>TREND 1</th>
<th>TREND 2</th>
<th>TREND 3</th>
<th>TREND 4</th>
<th>TREND 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SMB</td>
<td>145</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>144</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West</td>
<td>061</td>
<td>064</td>
<td>164</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HP</td>
<td></td>
<td>145</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRP</td>
<td>063</td>
<td>144</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDP</td>
<td>062</td>
<td>065</td>
<td>144</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charest (1979)</td>
<td>063</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>155 (144.5)*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McKenzie (1974)</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>134</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VEINS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>JOINTS</th>
<th>TREND 1</th>
<th>TREND 2</th>
<th>TREND 3</th>
<th>TREND 4</th>
<th>TREND 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SMB</td>
<td></td>
<td>143</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dykes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDP</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>164</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dykes and veins

Charest (1979) | 145 | 165 |
McKenzie (1974) | 134 |

Trend 1 (041°) is a well pronounced submaxima of a paired maximum and is restricted to data sets of the EDP and western portion of the study area (Table 1). This joint trend is roughly parallel to the EDFZ.

Trend 3 (130°) is represented by a submaxima in data sets of fractures in the EDP (McKenzie, 1974; Smitheringale, 1973). In the case of McKenzie's data, however, the average of this trend and another submaxima is equal to trend 4. Trend three is also represented by dykes (Fig. 5b).

Trend 5 (164°) is represented solely by dykes (Table 1) in data sets of this survey and McKenzie (1974). Neither trend 3 or 5 are recognized in the field and their poor representation is probably reflecting the fact that generally only the dominant joints were recorded. The distinct representation by dykes and/or veins, however, is convincing evidence that these trends are significant.

Lineaments defined from air photo interpretation (Fig. 6) are coincident with northeastly and northwestly joint trends. The only area showing any major departure from this pattern is located in the north-central portion of the study area where a pronounced north-south trend is present.

Quartz Veins

Both mineralized and barren quartz veins are predominantly developed in dilatant joints, although some represent replacement features. Greisen selvages are commonly associated with veining. Quartz veins in the study area are restricted to trend 4 joints (Fig. 4g, Table 1), as is readily apparent when veins are plotted on a map (Fig. 7a). This northwestly trend of quartz veins was noted by MacDonald and Horne (1987) in the Tantallon area (northwestern portion of the HP) where numerous veins are present. Within the study area, quartz veins are approximately uniform in distribution (Fig. 7a) with areas of low vein densities generally corresponding to areas of poor outcrop. However, many of the veins associated with the leucomonzogranitic units are characterized by greisen borders and Sn+W+Cu+Mo+As mineraliza-
tion, whereas most veins within biotite monzonitegranite and granodiorite are barren or contain only pyrite and/or arsenopyrite.

Dykes

Dyke lithologies include, in order of decreasing abundance, aplite, pegmatite, composite aplite-pegmatite and minor amounts of granitoid units. Dyke orientations are not as clustered as those of the quartz veins and dip steeply in all orientations (Fig. 4h). However, there is a maxima corresponding to that of quartz veins (Fig. 4h). A similar situation was found by Rehrig and Heidrick (1972) for dykes and veins in Laramide plutons in Arizona. It may reflect the timing of their emplacement. Hence, co-magmatic dykes were intruded during the early stages of crystallization and hydrothermal quartz veins represent late-magmatic to early post-magmatic features. Joint patterns would presumably be more extensive at the time of vein formation. This sequence of dyke and vein formation has been well documented elsewhere (Allen, 1966; Parslow, 1968). Other submaxima of dykes correspond to trends 3 and 5 (Table 1). A set of subhorizontal dykes (Figs. 4h, 5b) confirms the presence of subhorizontal joints.

The distribution of dykes is roughly uniform throughout the map area, although their orientation shows considerable variation (Fig. 7b). In some areas dyke trends parallel veins whereas in others they are apparently random. A systematic relation-
ship locally exists between dyke orientation and the geometry of units (e.g., where dykes parallel the granite-metasedimentary rock contact).

Joint Control on Granite Emplacement

Linear contacts between and within the NRP, HP, the intrusive suite body in the northeastern corner of the study area and the envelope rocks, display orientations roughly coincident with the major joint trends (2 and 4). These contacts have been highlighted in Figure 2. In some areas, such as the intrusive suite referred to above and the contacts of the HP and NRP with the envelope north of St. Margarets Bay, a box-like geometry is apparent. This strongly suggests that at least locally joint development influenced the emplacement of the later units of the SMB. Control on granite emplacement by regional joint systems has been well documented within the Coastal Batholith of Peru (Knox, 1974; Bussell, 1976; Pitcher and Bussell, 1977).

Age of Fracture Pattern

The association of joints with dykes, veins and hydrothermal alteration (e.g., greisenization, mineral deposits) is generally accepted as evidence for a primary origin of joints in granitic rocks (Balk, 1937; Harre, 1986; Hutchinson, 1956; Allen, 1966; Parslow, 1968; Fireman, 1960). The close association of mineralization with late-stage leucocratic units, the recognition of distinct domains of vein types and distinct metallogenic domains associated with certain units, and the available geochronological data (Farley, 1978) have led to the conclusion that mineralization in the SMB is related to late- to early-post magmatic processes (McKenzie, 1974, Farley, 1978, Charest, 1979, O'Reilly et al., 1982; Logothethis, 1984). It would follow that the coincidence of quartz veins (barren and mineralized) with joint trend 4 and dykes with trends 3, 4 and 5 indicates a primary origin for these joints. As veining appears to be genetically related to lithology and is associated with all units of the SMB, it follows that the joint patterns were repeatedly developed during each intrusive event. Thus the causal stress for jointing was present and fixed throughout emplacement of the SMB.

Extensive fracture-related hematitization within the SMB has been considered by Logothethis (1984) to result from deuteric metasomatism. Intense hematitization along trend 2 fractures within the pervasively hematitized leucomonzogranitic units, particularly zones of fracture cleavage, also suggests that these joints are primary. Smitheringale (1973) indicated that joints corresponding to trends 3 and 4 are commonly the dominant sets within the Halifax, White Rock, Kentville and Torbrook Formations. He also showed that these joint trends are coincident with minor folds (kink bands) within these rocks and presented evidence that these folds, and hence joints, were developed during granite intrusion.

However, the most convincing evidence for the early development of joints within the SMB is demonstrated by the coincidence of intrusive contacts and the dominant joint trends (2 and 4) as outlined above.

Stress Analysis

The repetition of similar joint patterns throughout the map area, independent of any temporal or spatial restrictions imposed by the geology of the batholith, indicates that the patterns represent through-going features of regional extent. There is generally no simple relation between the joint pattern and the geometry of the units or the orientation of primary flow features, as would be expected if joints formed in response to emplacement and cooling phenomenon (Balk, 1937; Martin, 1953; Hutchinson, 1956; Davis, 1963; Allen, 1966; Koide and Bhattacharji, 1975). This is especially true for the eastern portion of the study area where intrusions and accompanying patterns of flow features are concentric. In the same instance evidence has been given which indicates that these regional trends developed during granite emplacement, and in fact repeatedly developed with each intrusive event. Similar observations have been made by Parslow (1968), Bussell (1976) and Pitcher (1978).

Joint patterns resulting from stresses related to emplacement and cooling are undoubtedly developed to some degree, and may be in part responsible for the girdle nature of the stereonet patterns. Such joints may account for local dyke patterns as outlined above (e.g., those which parallel contacts). Secondary joints, such as those related to faults, are probably also in part responsible for the overall pattern. Nevertheless, a regional fixed-stress was the dominant influence in the development of the dominant regional joint trends. A composite of joint trends (Fig. 8) shows that a systematic geometric relationship exists among the various trends. Trends 2 and 4 are roughly orthogonal and are parallel and perpendicular to regional fold trends, respectively. Trends 3 and 5 are symmetrically arranged about trend 4. Similar
patterns of regional joints have been discussed by Price (1959), where joints parallel to the main structural trends (trend 2) are referred to as longitudinal, those perpendicular as cross (trend 4), and oblique joints (trends 3 and 5) as shear joints. Primary-regional orthogonal and/or shear joint systems in granite bodies have been described by Titley et al. (1986), Linnen and Williams-Jones (1986), Heidick and Titley (1982), Suryanarayana (1978), Pitcher (1978), Bussell (1976), Rehrig and Heidick (1972) and Parslow (1968).

Price (1959, 1966) interpreted such regional joint systems as resulting from residual compressive stress (from the main folding event) during uplift. Vertical shear joints with an acute angle of 40°–60° develop when the maximum principal stress is horizontal and the intermediate principal stress is vertical. Vertical tension joints parallel to the maximum principal stress and bisecting the shear joints could also form at this time. The presence of dykes, representing primary tensional joints, and accompanied shear joints have been interpreted as resulting from compression by Parslow (1968) and Suryanarayana (1978). According to Price, perpendicular vertical tension joints form when the maximum principal stress becomes vertical due to stress release during shear joint development. Uplift at this point will result in a decrease in both the gravitational load (maximum principal stress) and lateral stress (intermediate principal stress) parallel to the intermediate principal stress. These joints will bisect the shear joints if the residual regional stress becomes the intermediate stress. The interchange of the intermediate and minimum stress due to stress released during tension joint formation, given that the differential between the two is not too great, results in mutually perpendicular joints parallel and perpendicular to the regional structural trends.

It is proposed, therefore, that primary-regional joints (trends 2–5) developed within the granites of the eastern part of the SMB resulted from regional northwest-horizontal compression and uplift during granite emplacement. The maximum principal stress as determined for joint formation is similar in orientation to that expected for regional fold development and that which resulted in east-west dextral shearing during Carboniferous time. Regional compression and uplift prior to and later than granite emplacement may have resulted in identical joint patterns. In the latter case, it would be difficult to distinguish primary from secondary regional joints.

Trend 1 is not explained by the above analysis. However, it is well established within the western portion of the study area and forms the dominant trend within the EDP (Fig. 4f). It is also coincident with the general trace of the EDFZ and the elongation of the EDP. Slickensided fractures within the EDFZ (Fig. 9) also yield a maxima corresponding with trend 1 joints, suggesting a
link between the two. Although trend 1 is prevalent in the western portion of the map area, the persistence of trends 3 and 5 (including veins and dykes) suggests that a compressional model is applicable here. In fact, the parallelism of flow fabrics and trend 3 joints indicates that the former may have formed in response to this same compression. Thus, trend 1 is interpreted as a manifestation of shear which overprints the simple compressional pattern at a local scale.

Economic Significance

The implications of regional-primary joint patterns in the SMB is obvious in terms of controls on mineralization. An assessment of published data on mineral occurrences in the SMB (O’Reilly et al., 1982, Charest, 1979; McKenzie, 1974) and data from present 1:50,000 scale mapping (MacDonald et al. 1986a, b) demonstrates that the majority of occurrences are associated with trend 2 and 4 joints. The style and mineralogy of occurrences are broadly divisible into two types according to the style and orientation of the joints. Northeast-trending fractures and zones of fracture cleavage, which are commonly strongly hematized are common hosts to uranium mineralization. In contrast, the northwest-trending dilatant fractures are hosts to several vein-greisen style polymetallic Sn-W-Cu-Zn-Ag occurrences. Regional joint systems such as those described here are considered to be an integral component in the localization of mineralization in porphyry copper systems associated with Laramide intrusions in Arizona (Rehrig and Heidrick, 1972).

CONCLUSIONS

(1) Primary flow features within the eastern portion of the SMB display concentric to random-regional patterns in the eastern half and a linear-regional (northeasterly-trending) pattern in the western half; thus dividing the area into two distinct domains. These patterns generally reflect the geometry of intrusions.

(2) Northwest-trending sinistral faulting (HCF) in the eastern end of the SMB, consistent with a series of faults in the eastern Meguma Terrane, post-dates granite intrusion. Northeast-trending faulting (EDFZ) within the East Dalhousie Pluton also post-dates granite emplacement.

(3) A primary-regional joint system consisting of steeply dipping northeasterly (trend 2) and northwestly (trend 4) orthogonal sets and associated conjugate shear joints (trends 3 and 5) about the northwest set formed in response to northeast, horizontal compression during uplift. Northeastern horizontal compression was also responsible for east-west dextral wrench faulting as recorded in the Cobequid-Chedabucto Fault System. An additional joint set (trend 1) associated with the EDFZ has been superimposed on the regional joint trends.

(4) Primary-regional jointing within the SMB has to some degree influenced the emplacement of late magmatic units.

(5) Mineralization within the SMB is commonly controlled by the regional joint sets, with uranium mineralization restricted to northeast-trending (trend 2) joints and polymetallic vein-greisen mineralization restricted to northwest-trending (trend 4) joints.
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