On the Tremadoc-Arenig and Lower-Upper Tremadoc boundaries in the Bell Island Group, Conception Bay, eastern Newfoundland
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The Cambro-Ordovician ichnostratigraphic paradigm developed in England and Wales and successfully applied to coeval sequences in southwest Europe and the Mediterranean region is applied to the Ordovician Bell Island Group, Conception Bay, eastern Newfoundland. Its application enables a more accurate definition of the Tremadoc-Arenig boundary than previous studies have permitted and is the first attempt to define the Lower-Upper Tremadoc boundary within the Bell Island Group. It is suggested that both boundaries fall within the Beach Formation, the former at 1200 m within the succession and the latter at 1020 m.

INTRODUCTION

The ichnostratigraphic paradigm developed by Crimes (1970, 1975a and references therein) in fossiliferous Cambro-Ordovician strata of England and Wales has been successfully applied to several coeval sequences in southwest Europe and the Mediterranean region. In particular, species of *Cruziana* and to a lesser extent *Rusophycus* have been utilized to date and, or, correlate these unfossiliferous or poorly fossiliferous sequences, notable examples including Moreno et al. (1976 - central Spain), Crimes and Marcos (1976 - northwest Spain), Baldwin (1977a, 1978 - northwest Spain), Blaise and Bouyx (1980 - Brittany, Morocco) and Romano (1982 - Portugal). In this discussion, we apply the same paradigm to a poorly fossiliferous but prolifically ichnofossiliferous sequence of ?Cambrian and Lower Ordovician (Tremadoc and Arenig) strata exposed on Bell, Little Bell and Kellys Islands in Conception Bay, eastern Newfoundland (Fig. 1). Here the Tremadoc/Arenig age of the Ordovician strata has been well-established on paleontological grounds (e.g. Dean and Martin 1978) but such studies have failed to locate the position of the Tremadoc-Arenig boundary and have not warranted comment on the position of the Lower-Upper Tremadoc boundary. In the absence of definitive faunal evidence an attempt is made herein to more closely define the position of these boundaries by adhering to previously established trace fossil stratigraphies from areas other than that under consideration (thus avoiding circular reasoning).

Such an approach can perhaps be justified when considering that the sequence, part of the Avalon Zone of Williams (1964), exhibits many similarities to several coeval southwest European and Mediterranean successions. Indeed, pre-drift reconstructions place the Avalon Zone of Newfoundland opposite the Moroccan coast and west of Spain during the Ordovician (Dietz and Holden 1970). Similarities can also be made on paleontological grounds, notably trilobites and graptolites (Dean and Martin 1978), particularly between the Avalon and northwestern France and the Anti-Atlas of Morocco (Dean 1976). Ranger (1978 and references therein) and more recently Hiscott (1982) have drawn attention...
Fig. 1 - Geology of Bell Island, Little Bell Island and Kellys Island, Conception Bay, eastern Newfoundland. The geology is modified after Ranger (1978)
to the remarkable similarity of Cambro-Ordovician lithofacies and inferred depositional environments. Finally, Seilacher and Crimes (1969), in a brief but nevertheless important review of a selection of the trace fossils from the sequence, have commented on the 'European' aspect of the *Cruziana* species. Pickerill and Fillion (1983) have also made additional comment on the similarity of Bell Island and European trace fossils. In view of these obvious similarities, we feel fully justified in attempting to apply the ichnostratigraphic paradigm to the sequence under consideration in an attempt to more clearly define the position of the Tremadoc-Arenig and Lower-Upper Tremadoc boundaries.

**LOCATION AND GENERAL STRATIGRAPHY**

Bell Island, Little Bell Island and Kellys Island are located in Conception Bay, eastern Newfoundland (Fig. 1) and together are composed of a sequence of at least 1500 m of interbedded ?Cambrian and Ordovician (Tremadoc and Arenig) sandstones, siltstones, shales and ironstones which dip gently (8-14°) to the northwest. The sequence has traditionally been subdivided into the Bell Island Group (or Series) and an overlying Wabana Group (or Series) (van Ingen 1914, Hayes 1915, Rose 1952, Bergström 1976, Dean and Martin 1978). The most detailed formally proposed stratigraphy is that of van Ingen (1914) but alternative subdivision has been made more recently initially by Nautiyal (1966) and latterly and in more detail by Ranger (1978). Unfortunately, both of the latter schemes remain unpublished. Nevertheless, Ranger's (1978) stratigraphy has been informally adopted

![Fig. 2 - Detailed stratigraphy of the Wabana and Bell Island Groups following van Ingen (1914) and Ranger (1978).](image-url)
by King (1982) and although it remains unpublished we also include it in this report, though we emphasize it should still be regarded as informal. We regard its adoption here as extremely useful for the following reasons: (i) van Ingen's (1914) scheme did not include thicknesses of individual formations/units whereas Ranger's (1978) did. (ii) Ranger (1978) accurately located available and accessible exposures within his stratigraphic scheme. (iii) Examination of the succession over the last 2 years by us has indicated that Ranger's (1978) scheme is extremely workable. (iv) Ranger's (1978) scheme does not include unnamed units, as does van Ingen's (1914), and therefore precise reference to the succession can be made with relative ease. (v) Moreover, van Ingen's (1914) scheme does not conform to any existing code of stratigraphic nomenclature. Figure 2 summarizes both of van Ingen's (1914) and Ranger's (1978) stratigraphic schemes and for ease of comprehension of the following statements careful reference should be made to it.

There is little doubt that the Wabana Group is Lower Arenig in age, as it contains well-preserved and age diagnostic graptolites, trilobites and acritarchs (Dean and Martin 1978). Additionally, Tremadoc acritarch assemblages have been described by Dean and Martin (1978) from the Lance Cove to the lower part (240 m) of the Beach Formation of the Bell Island Group of van Ingen (1914). Unfortunately, fossils from the 240 m level of the Beach Formation upwards to the base of the Wabana Group, a stratigraphic thickness of c. 348 m, have proved to be too imprecise with respect to either an Arenig or Tremadoc age (see Dean and Martin 1978). However, by definition the Tremadoc-Arenig boundary must lie somewhere within this succession.

The position of the Lower-Upper Tremadoc boundary within the Bell Island Group is equally epigamic, although Dean and Martin (1978) tentatively suggested that acritarchs from the Lance Cove Formation, McGraw Ore Bed and lower 20 m of the Beach Formation of van Ingen (1914) i.e. lower part of the Bell Island Group, were of Early Tremadoc age (Fig. 2). Nevertheless, the boundary is at present undefined and both macro- and microfaunal data are too imprecise to even attempt a definition of it.

NATURE AND STRATIGRAPHIC DISTRIBUTION OF TRILOBITE-PRODUCED TRACE FOSSILS

It is generally regarded by the majority of authors that Palaeozoic, particularly Lower Palaeozoic, examples of *Cruziana* and *Rusophycus* were produced by trilobites (Crimes 1970, Seilacher 1970, Haantjeschel 1975). Although Seilacher (1970) united under *Cruziana* both long trilobite furrows and short coffee-bean like excavations (=Rusophycus), subsequent authors have retained *Rusophycus* for the resting impressions and use *Cruziana* only for the furrows (see Crimes et al. 1977). This latter scheme is followed here. Additional trilobite-produced traces have been interpreted as walking tracks (=Diplichnites), sideways grazing traces (=Dimorphichnus) and swimming grazing traces (=Monomorphanichnus) (Crimes 1970). In the sequence under consideration all such traces occur in abundance, but because the ichno-stratigraphic paradigm was developed employing only species of *Cruziana* and *Rusophycus*, the following section does not include detailed discussion on these latter traces although their stratigraphic distribution is, in fact, indicated in Figure 3.

Since Billings (1872) initially described a selection of the numerous species of trace fossils now known to occur within the sequence, surprisingly few and detailed studies have subsequently been undertaken. Seilacher and Crimes (1969) figured 3 specimens from Bell Island, namely, *C. semiplicata*, *C. furcifera* and *Phycodes circinatum*, and Bergström (1976) described the systematics of trilobite-produced specimens available to him. More recently Pickerill and Fillion (1983) recorded from within
Fig. 3 - Stratigraphic distribution of trilobite-produced trace fossils within the upper part of the Bell Siland Group (800-1400 m) and the Wabana Group, and location of the Tremadoc-Arenig and Lower-Upper Tremadoc boundaries within the sequence (see text for details). The solid bars represent observed occurrences of a particular species within the sequence and the connecting lines their inferred stratigraphic distribution.

the sequences the first occurrence of Rusophycus morgati in North America and Fillion and Pickerill (1983) described the enigmatic Arthraria antiquata and its occurrence in detail. Thus, to date the most comprehensive description of the trilobite-produced species of Cruziana and Rusophycus is that by Bergström (1976), but as indicated below, more detailed and systematic fieldwork undertaken by us has resulted in the discovery of additional species. A full list of all recorded trilobite-produced trace fossils and their stratigraphic distribution is indicated in Figure 3.

Species of Cruziana are represented by seven distinctive forms and several examples which, because of poor preservation, cannot be diagnosed at the specific level. In terms of van Ingen's (1914) stratigraphic scheme, the species are distributed as follows:

- **Cruziana bilobata** - Units G5, G9, H2
- **Cruziana breadstonii** - Unit G5
- **Cruziana furcifera** - Top of Unit G5 - J4
- **Cruziana goldfussi** - Units G5, G8 - G10, H1 - H3
- **Cruziana rugosa** - Units G9 - J4
- **Cruziana semiplicata** - Units G1 - G5
- **Cruziana tortworthii** - Units G3 - G5

Species of Rusophycus are represented by five distinctive forms which, in the same stratigraphic scheme, are distributed as follows:

- **Rusophycus bilobatum** - Units G9 - J4
- **Rusophycus breadstonii** - Unit G5
- **Rusophycus leifeirikssonii** - Unit G5
- **Rusophycus morgati** - Top of Unit G9
- **Rusophycus pudicum** - Unit G5
Of these species, C. rugosa, C. furcifera, C. semiplicata, R. leifeirikssonii and R. pudicum have been described in detail by Bergström (1976). The remaining species, together with the non-trilobite produced trace fossils, will be described in a forthcoming monograph by the present authors (Fillion and Picke-rill, in preparation).

**DISCUSSION**

The following discussion places more emphasis on species of *Cruziana*. Species of *Rusophycus* are not as stratigraphically useful because to date few and detailed systematic studies have been undertaken. Wherever applicable, however, brief reference is made to relevant rusophycids. All species of *Cruziana* are illustrated in Figure 4.

**Tremadoc-Arenig boundary**

Seilacher and Crimes (1969) were the first to suggest that, based on the occurrence of both *C. semiplicata* and *C. furcifera*, the Cambrian-Ordovician boundary (but unfortunately without reference to the Tremadoc) would fall within the sequence on Bell Island. Bergström (1976) restudied a selection of the trace fossils and tentatively placed the Tremadoc-Arenig boundary at the base of the Eastern Head - G6 Unit of van Ingen (1914) (= the Eastern Head Ore Bed of Ranger (1978), stating that the trilobite-produced traces occurring above this were of "*C. furcifera type" and *C. semiplicata* was absent. In spite of the fact that both on Bell Island and elsewhere *C. furcifera* occurs in both Tremadoc and Arenig strata Bergström's questionable boundary does not differ significantly from that suggested herein. Detailed paleontological studies by Dean and Martin (1978) failed to accurately locate the Tremadoc-Arenig boundary but did suggest its presence between the 240 m level of the Beach Formation and the base of the Wabana Group (see general stratigraphy section). This sequence of c. 348 m was tentatively assigned an Arenig age based on the stronger Arenigian affinities of the acritarch faunas.

In the Welsh, southwest European and Mediterranean ichnofossiliferous sequences, the Tremadoc-Arenig boundary is defined on the presence or absence of *C. semiplicata* and *C. rugosa* (Crimes 1970, 1975a; Baldwin 1977a, 1978). *C. semiplicata* is distinctly Upper Cambrian-Tremadocian (Crimes 1970, Seilacher 1970) and the base of the Arenig is placed after its last occurrence within a particular sequence (e.g. Baldwin 1978). Additionally, *C. rugosa* is a distinctive Arenig-Llandeilo form and does not range into the Tremadoc (Crimes 1975a, Baldwin 1977a). *C. tortworthi* is only known from Tremadoc age strata (Crimes 1975b) but unfortunately, until now, from only a single locality in Gloucestershire, England. Its usefulness as a stratigraphic indicator is unresolved although it is noteworthy that its occurrence in the Bell Island Group is within strata interpreted by the present authors and Dean and Martin (1978) as Tremadoc in age. All the additionally listed species of *Cruziana* are of little value in the definition of the Tremadoc-Arenig boundary as both on Bell Island and elsewhere they are long-ranging forms.

In the Bell Island Group, the last recorded occurrence of *C. semiplicata* is at 1200 m within the succession, that is, the upper part of the Beach - G5 of van Ingen (1914) or the Beach Formation of Ranger (1978) (Fig. 2). Application

---

**Fig. 4** - **Species of Cruziana from the Bell Island and Wabana Groups, Conception Bay, eastern Newfoundland.** a) *C. bilobata*; location Ore Docks (Unit G5), x 1.0. b) *C. tortworthi*; location Lance Cove (Unit G3), x 0.8. c) *C. breadstoni*; location The Beach (Unit G5), x 0.7. d) *C. rugosa*; location Upper Grebes Nest Point (Unit J4), x 0.5. e) *C. furcifera*; location Ochre Cove (Unit G9), x 0.6. f) *C. goldfussi*; location Gull Island North Head (Unit G10), x 0.5. All specimens from the Bell Island Group with the exception of d from the Wabana Group. Individual locations given in Figure 1.
of the ichnostratigraphic paradigm necessitates placing the Tremadoc-Arenig boundary at this level. Note that C. tortworthi occurs below this level in strata assigned a Tremadoc age. The first occurrence of C. rugosa is at 1330 m, that is, at the base of unnamed Unit-G9 of van Ingen (1914) or the Ochre Cove Formation of Ranger (1978) (Fig. 2). All strata above this level are therefore regarded as Arenig in age. It is also noteworthy that at 1355 m also occurs Rusophycus morgati, a distinctive Arenig rusophycid (Baldwin 1977b). Unfortunately, between 1200-1330 m only C. goldfussi has been recorded, which is a wide ranging species. The absence of C. semiplicata and C. rugosa from this level may well be related to facies control, as this part of the sequence consists essentially of thick cross-bedded and megarippled orthoquartzites which have a low preservation potential for trilobite-produced trace fossils. Thus, the Tremadoc-Arenig boundary may well lie within this sequence. Because this is impossible to resolve with existing data, we place the Tremadoc-Arenig boundary at 1200 m and questionably refer to the 1200-1300 m section as ?Arenigian in age.

Lower-Upper Tremadoc boundary

To date there has been no attempt to locate the position of the Lower-Upper Tremadoc boundary within the sequence although Dean and Martin (1978) did note that a single acritarch species (arbuculidum destombesii) from the Lance Cove Formation, McGraw Ore Bed and lower 20 m of the Beach Formation of van Ingen (1914) suggested an early Tremadoc age (Fig. 2). The ichnostratigraphic paradigm suggests the boundary is located at 1020 m, that is, within the Beach - G5 Unit of van Ingen (1914) or the Beach Formation of Ranger (1978) (Figs. 2, 3). In the Cabos Series of northwestern Spain, C. furcifera and C. goldfussi do not occur in Lower Tremadoc strata (Baldwin 1977a). Similarly, in England and Wales C. furcifera is essentially restricted to Upper Tremadoc and younger strata (Crimes 1970). The first occurrence of these species in the Bell Island Group is entirely coincident at the 1020 m level, where we therefore place the Lower-Upper Tremadoc boundary. It is noteworthy that if this boundary is accepted, the stratigraphic range of both C. tortworthi and C. breadstoni is now extended into strata of Upper Tremadoc age. The former species has previously only been recorded from Lower Tremadoc strata of Gloucestershire, England (Crimes 1975) and the latter from the same sequence and the Lower Tremadoc of northwest Spain (Crimes and Marcos 1976). Nevertheless, their distribution within the Bell Island Group suggests they may well be definitive Tremadocian species. In addition, therefore, their first appearance at 855 m suggests that strata between this level and 1200 m are definitively Tremadoc in age. Strata below 855 m could conceivably be of Upper Cambrian or Lower Tremadoc age, as they contain no diagnostic trace fossils (Fig. 2).

SUMMARY

The ichnostratigraphic paradigm developed by Crimes (1970, 1975a) in England and Wales has been successfully applied to coeval sequences in southwest Europe and the Mediterranean region. Its application to the ?Cambro-Ordovician strata (Bell Island and Wabana Groups) of eastern Newfoundland has resulted in a more accurate but not totally precise definition of the Tremadoc-Arenig boundary. It is suggested this boundary be placed at 1200 m within the succession, that is in the upper part of the Beach - G5 Unit of van Ingen (1914) or the Beach Formation of Ranger (1978) within the Bell Island Group. Above this level are 130 m of questionable Arenig strata followed by a further 170 m of definitive Arenig strata. It is further suggested that the Lower-Upper Tremadoc boundary be placed at 1020 m within the succession, that is 180 m below the Tremadoc-Arenig boundary and also within the Beach Formation.
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