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INTRODUCTION

Moravians, members of the oldest Protestant church, established themselves on the north coast of Labrador in the second half of the eighteenth century.¹ They had a keenly developed missionary consciousness that viewed evangelization among the Inuit as guided by Christ himself. The men and women enlisted in this salvific and human drama saw themselves as continuing the work of the missionaries who had preceded them in Greenland and other locales throughout the world. In Labrador, they had explored the viability of a mission two decades earlier through the Wismar mariner Johann Christian Erhardt and four missionaries. The journey and the missionaries’ stay in Labrador ended prematurely with the violent deaths of Erhardt and six of the ship’s crew during a trade encounter with Inuit for whalebone.² After further exploration in 1764, 1765, and 1770, and upon securing a sizable land grant in 1769, a permanent Labrador mission became a reality in 1771 at Nain.

More so than any other religious group, the Moravian missionaries documented evangelistic progress in Labrador for the administration at home, in this case the Unity Elders Conference in Saxony, and for fellow Moravians elsewhere. For this purpose they established and maintained an archive in Nain. The meticulous documentation of their activities on the Labrador coast was conveyed annually to Europe through copies sent along with other communications by the missionary supply vessel.³ These documents were collected in
the central Moravian archive in Herrnhut, Saxony, which also contains a large
map collection that goes back to the eighteenth century. The beginnings of
their missionary work were thus well documented in diaries, minutes, and
letters, but also in specific chronicles that detailed the purposeful planning and
earliest establishment of the missionary settlements at Nain (1771), Okak
(1776), Hopedale (1782), and Hebron (1830). The following paper explores the
historical data in the rich archival materials to better understand the tenuous
nature of early Moravian settlement and trade in light of Inuit mobility and
competitive European traders in southern Labrador. The diaries and other
documents are used as windows that permit glimpses of Inuit activities and
interactions with missionaries on Labrador’s north coast and European mer-
cantile and military personnel in southern Labrador.

Among the Moravian sources, the chronicles written by contemporary
participants that document the establishment of a new settlement have largely
been ignored in Labrador and Inuit studies. Included in an appendix to this
paper is the Moravian chronicle, edited and translated, about the beginnings of
Hopedale, penned at Hopedale in 1783 by the Labrador pioneer Jens Haven
prior to his return to Europe. It is a remarkable first-hand account of the
strategic, logistical, and ecological considerations that went into planning a
missionary settlement. It is also a witness to the importance of Arvertok as
gathering place and gateway for Inuit travelling to southern Labrador well before
the Moravians settled nearby.

The missionary station at Hopedale (German: “Hoffenthal”) and the old
Inuit whaling site of Arvertok (also called Arbatok and other variations; in the
revised spelling: Agvituk) were important locales for Inuit travelling to and
from central and southern Labrador. This paper begins with a discussion of the
earliest, flawed, awareness of Arvertok among the missionaries as documented
in the travel journals and maps from the 1765 and 1770 exploration journeys.
Also presented is the historiography of Inuit from the Hopedale area who,
once Moravians had established themselves there, continued to travel to the
south to trade. Specific European merchants and firms, and the locations of
Chateau Bay, Sandwich Bay, and Hamilton Inlet, figure in the Moravian diaries
and correspondence, and these references are presented to add to the picture
of trade contacts. The paper finishes with the annotated translation of Jens
Haven’s chronicle of the founding of Hopedale.
THE LOCATION OF ARVERTOK (ARBATOK) IN 1765 AND 1770 DOCUMENTS AND MAPS

The Inuit toponym “Arbatok,” a site with 10 houses at the southern entrance to Hamilton Inlet, first appears in the Moravian records on the Haven-Schloezer map dated 1765 associated with a second Moravian exploration journey by Jens Haven, Larsen Christen Drachardt, John Hill, and Andreas Schloezer.\(^5\) This and other toponyms were provided by Inuit who were interviewed further south at Chateau Bay. Although Arbatok may represent an authentic locale near Hamilton Inlet, it is very possible that an error was made, or that there were several localities with the same name since Arbatok, meaning place of whales, tends to be a generic toponym rather than a specific one.\(^6\) In any event, as discussed below, Haven would later question his earlier notion on the correct location of Arvertok at the mouth of Hamilton Inlet. Awareness of a more northerly location for Arvertok came during the 1770 journey.

The 1765 Haven-Schloezer map (see Figure 1) is centrally focused on the major embayment of Hamilton Inlet and Lake Melville but depicts the outer coast of Labrador from approximately 53N latitude (the Seal Islands and Porcupine Bay area) in the south to 57N (the Voisey’s Bay area) in the north. Along this littoral are located 36 Inuit toponyms including Arbatok, which is “Walfisch platz” in German and “place of whales” in English. These place names are also listed alphabetically on the left side under the title “Die Namen übersetzt,” in English “The Names translated,” and each is given a German translation and some are provided explanatory comments. The mapped coast is further subdivided into 13 zones from north to south, each indicated by red capital letters A-N, corresponding to regions discussed by Jens Haven in a companion text.

The Haven-Schloezer map, catalogued as TS M.111.10 in the Herrnhut map collection, was the basis for two additional professionally drawn, black-and-white maps. One of these is TS Mp.114.11 (see Figure 2), which bears the Latin inscription: “Pars/Terrae Labrador/ex Gallico originali designata/per ipsos Nativos/qui Esquimaux audiunt/se ipsos vero Karalit vocant/correcta/& cum eorum nominibus adornata,/in hanc ordinem redacta/per quosdam/Unitatis Fratrum/Anno Domini MDCCLXV,” which translates as, “Part of Labrador, from a French original, traced out by the natives themselves, corrected and adorned with their names, edited in this order by certain [members] of the Unitas Fratrum, who heard it from the Esquimaux, who, in fact, call themselves Karalit, in the Year of the Lord 1765.”\(^7\) This map lacks the list of
translated toponyms of TS M.111.10 and is limited to the coast between 53 and 56 degrees north latitude. The reference to “a French Original” refers to earlier charts created by Fornel and Pilote in 1743, on which the 1765 Haven-Schloezer maps rely for a template.8

A commentary in Haven’s tortuous German, titled “Kortzer ercklerung über die bey folgende Carte so wohl als die Reisse nach labrador 1765,” [“Short Explanation about the enclosed Map as well as the Journey to Labrador 1765”],9 describes the mapped areas north to south divided into 13 sections lettered in red capitals A-N. The entrance to Hamilton Inlet contains four sections, among them Arbatok. Haven described its location as follows: “2nd Arbatok (place of whales), these are all the islands on the south side of the fjord as well as the mainland to Puktuallik.”10

Thus we find that one of the earliest Moravian maps of Labrador placed Arvertok (“Arbatok”) in Hamilton Inlet rather than in today’s Hopedale region. The 1765 journal, map, and map commentary by Haven confirm that the toponym is an Inuit regional name indicating an abundance of whales.11

Moving from the maps, the sources of these toponyms are described in the Moravian journal, and are shown to derive from several occasions at which the missionaries interviewed Inuit about “Arvatok” or “Arbaktok.” The first communication was a part of the series of questions that Larsen Christen Drachardt asked Inuit on 27 August 1765 near Chateau Bay on behalf of Governor Palliser. To Palliser’s query, “Where do they [the Inuit] live, and what are the names and the places where they stay, and how many houses are there?”, “Arbaktok” is mentioned as having 10 houses.12 After Jens Haven and Andreas Schloezer returned to Chateau Bay from their exploration journey north, another conversation with Inuit ensued about locations and names of Inuit settlements. In a journal entry for 12 September 1765, the process of obtaining the names and the location of Arbatok is described as follows:

We inquired again from them about their country and asked about fjords and passages. They said: north of them was a large passage, but it ran again into the sea in the east. There was a fjord to the north, in the mouth of which were many islands, which they all named by name. In this fjord they went to hunt for reindeer, carried their kayaks across land, and then went again into a large fresh water. On the north side of this fjord was Sekullia’s land, which he called Arbaktok. They described for us everything so clearly that we believe seriously that it is the fjord that the French call Kessessakiou or Esquimaux-Bay, and [which] lies in ca. 54 degrees N[orthern] L[atitude], south of Nisbet Harbour.13
Figure 1: Haven-Schloze map from 1765 (TS Mp.111.10; with permission of the Unity Archives, Herrnhut).
Figure 2: Black-and-white map based on the Haven-Schloezer map from 1765 (TS Mp.114.11; with permission of the Unity Archives, Herrnhut).
Figure 3: Printed German map of Hopedale (Hoffenthal) and Hamilton Inlet with settler locations in 1873, sketched by Levin Theodor Reichel (courtesy Hans J. Rollmann).
From this descriptive information, Arbaktok was, quite logically, identified as a region near the mouth of Hamilton Inlet in the 1765 map with other Inuit toponyms.\textsuperscript{14}

The place name Arbatok occurs next in connection with an Inuk who had been taken to England. After Haven and Drachardt’s return from their exploration journey, Governor Hugh Palliser placed into their care in 1769 Karpik, a boy who was born approximately 1754 at Arbatok. The boy’s mother had died while he was still a little child, and his father was killed in 1767 during a melee between the British and Inuit in southern Labrador. Karpik, who lived with Drachardt at Fulneck, Yorkshire, where he attended school together with British boys, became the first baptized Inuk from Labrador. The missionaries held high hopes for Karpik’s future help in establishing Labrador missions, but he died of smallpox on 5 October 1769, only a day after his baptism. Karpik had remembered Drachardt’s presence and preaching in Chateau Bay in 1765.\textsuperscript{15}

During the third exploration journey in 1770 (after the Moravians had obtained their land grant and Order-in-Council), leading to choosing Nain as the location for the first permanent settlement in 1771, the missionaries passed another place called Arbatok. They then perceived that the region with that name had a more northerly location than they previously assumed. Haven realized that the original location assigned to Arbatok on the basis of their Inuit informants in 1765 was “far to the south in front of Esquimaux Bay” and thus could not be correct.\textsuperscript{16} When passing Cape Ailik, their Inuit pilot told them “that it was not yet Arbatok, namely the southern habitation of the Esquimaux.”\textsuperscript{17} South of Nain and 15 German miles north of Arbatok, the Moravians encountered Inuit from all three major regions along Labrador’s north coast (Arbatok, Nuneinguak, and Kivertlok), who played games — apparently an annual affair — and traded with each other. People from the three locations promised the missionaries to visit them the following year in Nain.\textsuperscript{18}

EARLY MORAVIAN OBSERVATIONS OF ARVERTOK AND ITS RESIDENTS

On this 1770 trip, Haven formed his first character judgment of the people from Arbatok. He wrote: “The people of Arbatok [German: “die Arbatoker”] are quite well dressed, but at the same time are proud, rough and look murderous [German: “mörderisch”].”\textsuperscript{19} A similar assessment is found in the manuscript history of establishing Hopedale, translated below, where Haven mentions that
“the people of Arvatok were greatly respected, and there were proud and rough murderers among them and [people from] all other areas were afraid of them and did their best to always please them.” The contrast between southern — in particular the people from Arbatok — and northern Inuit was later also made by Haven in a travel account about his journey to Nachvak in 1773, where he states, “They [the northerners] are simple, trusting; their joy is childlike. The Arbartokers, on the other hand, are conceited and proud.”

The constant tensions accompanying Inuit-European interaction in the south, so well documented during Erhardt’s 1752 voyage and Haven’s first exploration trip of 1764, when he prevented the planned extermination of a sizable number of Inuit in northern Newfoundland by the English and French, may be reflected in these comparisons and character assessments. The Moravian Elder Paul Eugen Layritz, on a visitation journey to Nain in 1773, is somewhat surprised in having met rather peaceful people from Arbatok, thus contradicting the stereotype that had been formed about them. He mentions that they generally had a reputation for being “a murderous people.” Layritz attributed their “corruption” (German: “verdorben”) to European influences and considered it providential for Moravians to serve as peacemakers between the southern and northern Inuit, by easing the “bitter enmity” that supposedly existed between the two groups.

As Jens Haven’s “Brief Account of Arvatok” below indicates, already prior to the Moravians’ settling there, the place had a great reputation and featured significantly in the Labrador-wide trading network. It was, in Haven’s words, “very well known and famous among them [the Inuit], and who has lived a winter in Arvatok boasts about it as if he had lived in London or Paris.” It also represented a strategic locale from which Inuit launched biennial raids to the south. The inhabitants of Arvertok were well positioned to benefit from the existing Inuit trade along the entire coast of Labrador. In a report of 1775-6, the missionaries summarized what they had found out about this trading network as follows:

Sedleck [Saglek] & Navok [Nachvak] are places where most Whale-fins are to be got, it is now certain that we have been the first Europeans who have visited them[.] They have hitherto carried on a trade with the Abortok [Arvertok] Tribe who truck with them European goods for their Whalefins, Weichstein [“Weichstein,” literally meaning soft stone, is the German word for soapstone] & the Whalebone they carry to the English settlers at Alexis River[,] Cape Charles & Chateau. The
farther north from Nain the more Whaefins we have found & this in all probability will hold good with respect to Killineck Bay. So much is certain that all the southern Indians [Inuit] are supplied with sea cow teeth from there with which [they] arm [?] the end of their seal darts, no Esquimaux is without at least 2 of these darts headed with such teeth.\textsuperscript{24}

Besides recommending Hopedale as a site for a mission settlement for geographical and ecological reasons, the close proximity — an estimated six minutes from the mission — to this “ancient place of habitation for the Esq[imaux]” was an important consideration for the Moravian missionaries in choosing it as a location. Haven described the population as living in “six inhabited houses and on occasion even more” as well as “five other places around here, with the farthest only two German miles from Arvatok.” According to an Inuit informant, “three to four hundred inhabitants have wintered in the area.” These 11 houses in and near Arvatok were close to the number given to Larsen Christen Drachardt in 1765 when he questioned Inuit near Chateau Bay for Governor Palliser and was told there were 10 in the region.\textsuperscript{25} In 1773 Lieutenant Roger Curtis computed the population of Ogbuctoke — his spelling of Arvatok — as 270 persons on the basis of 30 persons per boat.\textsuperscript{26} That same summer Elder Layritz and his party encountered five European shallops with Inuit from Arvertok south of Hamilton Inlet on their way to Cape Charles, each with an estimated 30 people on board.\textsuperscript{27} Haven gives the total of boats encountered by Layritz as “nine boats, mainly with people from Arvatok.” In 1782, the year Moravians established their settlement at Hopedale, however, only about 70 people lived in three houses at Arvertok near the mission, a figure that fluctuated significantly after the settlement of Hopedale was established; but it usually stayed below this figure while the households at the mission station ranged from two to four houses with a somewhat smaller household average. According to J. Garth Taylor, the Inuit settlement of Arvertok had a household average of about 20 persons as compared to 16 at Hopedale.\textsuperscript{28}

HOPEDALE AND INUIT TRADE IN SOUTHERN LABRADOR\textsuperscript{29}

Governor Hugh Palliser’s attempt to confine or restrict Inuit to the north while the British ship fishery was being developed in the south of Labrador, and the Moravian missionaries’ desire to keep Inuit apart from European influences
while promoting conversion among them, led to an alliance and containment policy that the governor’s immediate successors also supported, but which had only limited success. The Moravian encouragement to preserve Inuit subsistence and lifestyle, albeit in a Christianized way and with trading at Moravian stores, required continued mobility for hunting and fishing by kayak, umiak, and European-style shallops at sea and by dogsled during the winter. This mobility facilitated also continued access to the south, especially where southern traders offered a wider variety of goods than the Moravian stores, as well as better means for hunting (firearms) and travel (sail-powered shallops). Ownership of shallops had been noted already by seventeenth-century French explorers and the Moravian Johann Christian Erhardt on his fateful exploration journey in 1752.30 Next to boats, firearms were the most coveted trade good for the Inuit. For the first 15 years of their presence in Labrador, Moravian stores did not sell guns, gunpowder, or lead to Inuit but were eventually forced to change their policy in 1786 to accommodate Inuit demand and discourage continued travel to the competition in the south.31 The availability of guns and the large land grants of 100,000 acres each in Nain, Okak, and Hopedale, which secured a land base for continued Inuit habitation and subsistence near Moravian settlements, were not by themselves sufficient to keep the very mobile Inuit population of Labrador in the north.32 British and Franco-Canadian mercantile attraction in Hamilton Inlet and southward along the coast, and a less restrained lifestyle in the south, posed serious challenges to the Moravian dream of having strictly localized Inuit communities in the north. In the case of Hopedale, this mobility and the slowness in gaining converts in the first three decades of the Moravian presence in Labrador at times called into question the very existence of the missionary settlement near Arvertok.

After a year of Moravian settlement at Nain, in 1772, Palliser’s successor, Governor Molyneux Shuldham, received news that Inuit had moved south for trade. To prevent such uncontrolled southward movement, the governor “desire[d] and require[d] the said Unitas Fratrum to use every fair and gentle means in their power, to prevent the said Esquimaux Savages from going to the Southward,” until additional settlements would be established along the coast or unless permission had been obtained.33 The movement of Inuit to the south in 1772 had tragic consequences in that 200 died in a fierce storm or succumbed to sickness and hunger.34 The Moravian Society for the Furtherance of the Gospel (SFG), the missionary and trade organization supplying Labrador, felt, in retrospect, that Governor Shuldham’s order, later communicated in Labrador by Lieutenant Roger Curtis, had indeed inhibited travel to the south
for nearly a decade, although some Inuit travel to the south continued during the first decade of Moravian settlement in Labrador, as can be gleaned from entries in the Moravian records. The Hopedale diary of 1783, for example, speaks about the missionaries’ efforts to dissuade the Inuk Piugina from travelling south by reminding him that none of the seven boats that went south eight years before [in 1775] returned. The travel account of Elder Layritz from 1773, referred to above, as well as other Moravian diaries and correspondence and the journal of George Cartwright, also indicate continued travel to the south during the decade. In fact, Cartwright noted on 31 August 1773 that “about noon almost the whole of the three southernmost Tribes of Esquimaux, amounting to five hundred souls or thereabouts, arrived from Chateau in twenty-two old English and French boats (having heard of my arrival from some boats belonging to that port) . . . .”

However effective the governor’s prohibition may have been in diminishing Inuit travel to the south in the latter part of the 1770s, southward movement picked up again in the early 1780s. The Nain missionary Christian Lister wrote in a letter of 25 May 1783 to settlers in Chateau Bay that “a great many Eskemaux are preparing to go to the Southward, amongst whom are some of our baptized.” Lister considered this movement as a direct response to invitations extended to north-coast Inuit by fellow Inuit who had gone to Chateau Bay in 1782. That year, the famous Inuit woman Mikak, who was feted in England in 1769 and later helped Moravians in locating Nain as a place for their first settlement, her husband Tuglavina, and the Christian Inuk Abraham had a friendly reception in Chateau Bay from a British Commander William (whether this was his Christian or surname is unknown), and at that time they acquired a boat and guns. In turn, they extended invitations to other Inuit, preferably baptized ones, to come south, where they would freely receive European food and guns, that is, “if they helped the English to fight against the Americans and French.” The departing Moravian Superintendent, Samuel Liebisch, mentions in a letter, penned in St. John’s on 20 September 1783 and discussed at a meeting of the SFG in London, that southern Labrador held an attraction for a significant number of Inuit and identifies specifically Cartwright’s settlements as the location of particular interest, where 230 north-coast Inuit had gone. A draft of a 1784 letter by the SFG to Lord Sidney estimated the number of Inuit who went to Chateau Bay upon the invitation of the settlers as being 250. Confirmed by violence and murders committed with guns in the south, the missionaries feared dire consequences of such trading trips, even “the destruction & extirpation of the whole Esquimaux nation,” if firearms were introduced.
In the meantime, the SFG’s representation to Lord Sidney had been passed on to the governor of Newfoundland, John Campbell, together with the King’s wishes to recommend the thoughts of the SFG “to your particular attention and consideration, and that you do so far as it may be in your power [to] enforce compliance with their desire.” In June of 1784, the SFG noted these “attempts . . . made to prevent the Esquimaux from going to the South,” especially the proclamations issued by Governor Campbell. But in November of that year, at a meeting attended by Jens Haven and his wife, who had left Labrador after 13 years of service in Nain, Okak, and Hopedale, the Society still bemoaned “the grievous & deplorable Consequences of the migration to the European settlements in the South.”

A letter of 15 December 1785 to the SFG in London from Liebisch, the former Labrador Superintendent and now a member of the highest Moravian governing body, the Unity Elders Conference in Saxony, signalled a change in policy regarding firearms. The elders now permitted Moravian stores in Labrador to carry guns and ammunition in the hope that this would prevent Inuit from travelling south to buy firearms for their own use or trade in the north. The letter acknowledged the alienation of Inuit as a consequence of the previous restricted trading policy and that the prohibitions by the government had been largely ineffective since the traders in the south ignored government and continued to sell guns to Inuit, an assessment the SFG came to share at their February 1786 meeting. Also, Governor Campbell had “declare[d] that he does not see how he can hinder their being furnished with these articles from the South, the Admirals Station being so far distant from the Labrador Coast.” The SFG was thus forced to face the reality that firearms could no longer be excluded from the Moravian stores and in 1786 started selling guns, powder, and lead to Inuit.

The lure of a larger store inventory by southern traders had thus been a factor in undermining the desired de facto monopoly of Moravian trade in the north and established what appears to be a lasting alternative in Inuit relations with Europeans. The enhanced store inventory and the more relaxed lifestyle for Inuit compared with that in the Moravian settlements made the south an attractive location for trade and habitation to the Inuit on the north coast. Although the missionaries would establish an extensive settlement that included a mission house, workshop, guest house, provisions house, blubber yard and store, boat houses, sawmill, and eventually a separate church for the Inuit congregation, southward travel continued. This and the concomitant failure to convert many Inuit in Hopedale and the ecology of the area were factors
considered as early as April 1786 in possibly relocating the missionaries further to the south. In March of 1792, the SFG seriously discussed the viability of Hopedale. Samuel Liebisch expressed the thought that Hopedale could be given up “without essential injury to the few baptized, who may remove to Nain.” The SFG, however, feared that if Hopedale closed, the church would forfeit the 100,000 acres granted by the British government in 1774. Several other reasons for and against maintaining Hopedale were entertained, notably the paucity of Inuit in Hopedale and lack of missionary success, but also—as a counter-argument—the historical fact that the mission in Greenland had first shown no promise but then flourished. The SFG eventually decided in typically Moravian fashion on the casting of lots, to ask Christ himself whether Hopedale was to be maintained. The missionaries resolved:

> That we are unanimous, that no outward difficulties should have any weight in determining the giving up of Hopedale — but considering the small prospect of success as to the Conversion of the heathen and the increasing difficulties from the approach of the Europeans, we are willing to submit it to the decision of our Savior, whether Hopedale is to be given up or not & if He approves of its continuance, the Society will take new courage & support it to the utmost of their power.

The SFG let the Unity Elders Conference on the continent decide whether the Saviour affirmed the closure of Hopedale, which, however, was not to be. Those who had pleaded for more patience in light of the initially slow Greenland experience must have felt vindicated when the revival of 1804-05 produced the hoped-for spiritual turnaround and the desired indigenization of the Moravian faith among the Inuit. Conversions from the revival and a significantly larger congregation led to the opening of the first separate church at Hopedale in 1806. The revival also had consequences for Nain and Okak and was crucial in strengthening and sustaining the Moravian church in Labrador. Through its revival, the community of Hopedale breathed new life into the Labrador missionary efforts. Amid considerable contemporary challenges in remaining relevant in the twenty-first century, which it shares with other churches worldwide, the Moravian Church maintains regular religious services in Hopedale in Inuktitut and English.

While large-scale migrations to the south may have decreased by the mid-1790s, economic links with some southern traders continued, especially as the Europeans moved north, closer to the Moravian settlements. Historic ties
between Hopedale and Hamilton Inlet (Aivektok) continued into the nineteenth century so that the Inuit communities of Snooks Cove and Karawalla near Rigolet preserved relations with fellow Inuit on the north coast and were visited not only by missionaries from Hopedale and Makkovik until the early years of the twentieth century but even saw in 1871-72 an indigenous evangelization effort by Inuit from Hopedale.50

THE EUROPEANS IN THE SOUTH IN THE EARLY HOPEDALE RECORDS

While many of the references that document Inuit travel to the south in the Hopedale diaries remain without specific geographical location, even where a destination of trade with Europeans is indicated, three historic locations in the south are mentioned prominently in connection with Inuit travel and trade. Prior to the establishment of European traders in the Makkovik and Kaipokok areas, these locations were Chateau Bay, Sandwich Bay (Netsektok) and Hamilton Inlet (Aivektok).

Inuit in Chateau Bay

Chateau Bay, with its British fortification Fort York at Pitt’s Harbour, became a major locus in southern Labrador often identified in the records as an Inuit destination during the first three years of the Moravian settlement of Hopedale. As noted, here the missionaries first became mediators for the British and interpreters for Governor Hugh Palliser on their exploration journey of 1765. Once Hopedale was established, the first Inuk baptized there by Moravian missionaries was Kippinguk, born in Chateau Bay, who on 25 January 1784 received the name Jonathan at his baptism.51

Increased Inuit contact with Chateau Bay began in 1782, when Tuglavina, Abraham (formerly called Pualo), and Mikak came there and entered into business relations with the British commanding officer and where Tuglavina was baptized after becoming seriously ill.52 A reference by Tuglavina and his party to other ships and conflict with the English in the south suggests a likely larger Inuit presence in the area.53 While missionaries sought intervention by British authorities to curb Inuit southern travel, Christian Lister penned a letter on 25 May 1783 from Nain, in which he refers to Inuit travel to Chateau Bay and commends them to the “love and good care” of the English residing there.54 Chateau Bay is mentioned as a specific destination for several families
and many young people from among the great number of Inuit going south in 1783 and 1784. But the Inuit presence there was much beset with conflict, violence, and deaths because of communication difficulties with Europeans. According to the missionaries at Hopedale, Inuit were ordered to leave Chateau Bay and return to the Moravians in the north. A consequence of such strife and conflict, which apparently also involved Innu, led to more north-coast Inuit migrants going to Sandwich Bay (Netsektok) and Hamilton Inlet (Aivektok).

Inuit in Sandwich Bay (Netsektok)

Sandwich Bay is identified in the Hopedale records throughout the eighteenth and early nineteenth centuries as a location where Inuit travelled to European merchants. The Inuktitut name variously rendered as Netsektok or Neitsektok, with even other orthographic variations, indicates a place of sealing. This use of name coincides with Netshucktoke by Lieutenant Roger Curtis in 1773 and still resonates in the so-called tribal name of Netcetemiut that Hawkes records for these “sealing place people” in Sandwich Bay. There is also a direct identification of the Inuit toponym with its English equivalent in a Hopedale Conference letter for 10 October 1794, which mentions the death of upwards of 40 Inuit, among others, “in Neksektok or Sandwich Bay.” The identification of Netsektok with “Cartwright’s people” in the Hopedale diary of 1785 lends further credibility to this localization.

Contact between Inuit and Europeans at Netsektok occurred during the first decade of the Moravian presence in Labrador, notably between 1777 and 1780 with Captain Cartwright, who had established premises in Sandwich Bay. When Inuit in the summer of 1784 were asked to leave Chateau Bay, some remained in Hamilton Inlet while Aitauk and his party wintered “south of Neitsektok,” perhaps in the Spotted Islands area. Inuit praised the Europeans residing in Netsektok to the Hopedale missionaries “very much for being such good people,” presumably because Inuit valued the generous trading conditions there and a wider selection of goods than those available in Moravian stores, with the expectation that payment be returned in the following year. In early September 1786, Niakungêtok and Kausitsiak, returning Inuit from Netsektok, describe it as a location “where everyone traded a gun and powder and lead.” Besides their self-interest and earlier trading experience, Inuit from the north coast were encouraged by Europeans in the south to engage in middleman trade among fellow Inuit in the north.

Movements of Inuit to Sandwich Bay are documented in the Moravian
records for the second half of the 1780s, as are the vagaries and dangers of life in the south, where a young Inuk died after drinking a large quantity of alcohol among Cartwright’s people and a Moravian Inuk and her daughter broke through the ice. The Hopedale records show that Sandwich Bay continued to be frequented occasionally by north-coast Inuit from the 1790s to the 1840s. In 1794, informants brought news of the death of upwards of 40 Inuit “in Neksektok or Sandwich Bay” and “Aivertok.” The cause of death for whole families in Netsektok was food poisoning from a dead whale. The victims were mainly baptized Hopedale Inuit who had left the congregation “some years ago.”

**Inuit in Aivektok (Hamilton Inlet)**

Aivektok or Aivektok Bay, also with variants Aivertok, Aivaktok, Eivektok, represents an early Inuit toponym for Hamilton Inlet, indicating a place where walrus could be found. The name was retained by Moravian missionaries alongside its English equivalent, “Eskimo Bay,” and in the nineteenth century was made popular by the manuscript and printed maps of Levin Theodor Reichel. In the nineteenth century, the Inuit communities of Snooks Cove and Karawalla were located there, southwest of Rigolet. Inuit living in the area preserved a distinct Moravian identity and were visited by travelling missionaries, first from Hopedale and later from Makkovik. In 1870-1 they also became the object of an Inuit evangelization attempt by Jacobus, a Moravian Inuk from Hopedale, and 14 others. Earlier, in the 1820s, unsuccessful missionary efforts of Methodists associated with Newfoundland fishers and merchants reached out to these Inuit of Hamilton Inlet. The Moravian diaries and other records of Hopedale establish numerous links of north-coast Inuit with this central Labrador area, especially from the 1780s on. According to the Hopedale missionaries, there were multiple European parties in Hamilton Inlet. Travel from Aivektok to Hopedale was computed as taking normally three and a half days by boat, although with especially favorable wind the journey could be accomplished in only one day.

It appears that from 1785 on, Europeans from southern Labrador showed an increased interest in central Labrador, where they established a fishery and also built boats for Inuit and sought to improve relations between Inuit and Innu. By the summer of 1787, Aivektok had become a base for operations for Franco-Canadian traders, notably Pierre Marcoux, called Makko, who employed Inuit who became increasingly acculturated and adopted European dress. Marcoux supplied food (beef, pork, bread, and peas) and boats and also intended to build separate living quarters for Inuit and Innu. While the Indian population
serving Marcoux was described as sizable, the Quebec trader had also acquired an advanced knowledge of Inuktitut. So close were the relations of Inuit and Innu with Marcoux that they were called in Inuktitut “Makkokut,” meaning Makko’s people. Marcoux’s pronounced Roman Catholicism evidently motivated him to promote his religious beliefs among those working for him. The Franco-Canadian traders were also very mobile. In the summer, for instance, Marcoux lived with Inuit on the island of Okkortune, a two-day journey south of Hopedale, and in the winter he would take up residence “farther in Aivertok Bay.” The Europeans who had formerly stayed on the island of Itsuarvik in the spring had now also moved to their people in Aivektok. It may very well be that these Europeans were part of the contingent associated with Marcoux.

From Aivektok, Europeans also moved northward into Makkovik Bay, where they caught seals and salmon and traded with Inuit, supplying them with rum, syrup, and flour and receiving blubber in return. It seems likely that these Europeans were independent of Marcoux, for they had left a written sign in Makkovik Bay, which Marcoux (“Makko”), “the leader in Eivektok,” intended to examine more closely in the summer.

By 1790, Marcoux appears to have gained some interest in establishing a salmon fishery at Kaipokok and engaging in trade with Inuit. Also, other Europeans considered Kaipokok now as a possible settlement site. These Europeans may have been associated with the partner of George Cartwright, Robert Collingham, who appears in the Moravian records under the Inuktitut name of “Kalligame.” The missionaries, while observing a growing Europeanization among the Inuit who associated with Franco-Canadian and English traders south of Hopedale, note that this work for Europeans did not necessarily translate into increased Inuit prosperity. “The Inuit who return from the south are usually very poor,” the diarist of Hopedale wrote. “They have neither blubber nor dried meat with them. Everything they had, they sell, in order to buy European clothes, trousers, stockings, scarves, caps, hats, etc., so that they are equals of the Europeans.” Also, for the remainder of the eighteenth century and the first half of the nineteenth century, evidence indicates ongoing relations with the south and in particular with Aivektok.

EUROPEANIZATION OF INUIT

Europeanization of Inuit in Labrador was not confined to trade and settlement in Moravian communities. The exposure of Inuit to traders in the south had an
effect on Inuit hunting, fishing, and subsistence in general. Besides the acquisition of wooden boats and firearms already mentioned, Inuit also obtained large knives and fox traps and continued to catch seals, now also with nets, hunted caribou in the south, and engaged in the salmon and cod fisheries. Another attraction of southern trade and association with Europeans in the south was their food, especially bread, but also beef, pork, and peas. That freshly baked bread remained a continued attraction is indicated by frequent references in the Moravian records about Inuit travel from Hopedale to Kaipokok, once Pierre Marcoux had established operations there and built a baker’s oven. Alcoholic beverages, notably rum, also could be obtained from European settlers — to the great regret of the Moravian missionaries.

The purchase of European clothing was part of what the missionaries in Hopedale considered to be the regrettable Europeanization of Labrador Inuit. Tuglavina, in particular, sported a European military uniform, perhaps to compete with Mikak’s famous European dress, given to her in England by Princess Augusta of Saxe-Gotha-Altenburg, the Dowager Princess of Wales, a piece of clothing that achieved legendary proportions within the Palliser family. More generally, European clothing was desired by many Inuit and could be obtained in the south. In 1787, for example, the child of Dorothea, an Inuit woman, was dressed in a European way with a “black head scarf and English gown,” obtained from Pierre Marcoux’s wife and another woman. Pearls, wide bands or ribbons, jackets and socks as well as trousers, stockings, caps, scarves, hats, and other goods were traded.

An indication of increased Europeanization was also the custom of chewing tobacco, which can be linked with the south. The Hopedale store was in particular frequented by Inuit who had adopted chewing tobacco when they needed new supplies. The missionaries observed in 1789: “The chewing of tobacco, which the Inuit have presumably learned from the sailors and Europeans in the south, is now very common among them and one now seldom sees them smoke. Most of them are already so spoiled that they can no longer live without tobacco, so that they often take tree leaves instead [of smoking] . . . .” Early in the nineteenth century, Inuit housing styles changed or were hybridized. European-style wooden houses in imitation of settler dwellings gradually replaced the traditional sod houses. “Whenever the Eskimo men have no subsistence activities on the sea shore in the winter,” the Hopedale missionaries observed in the winter of 1839-40, “they busy themselves with cutting boards and collecting building materials for their houses, which they now build increasingly in a European manner.” It may very well be that the
“Kunnungek,” “a light straight [pine] wood without branches,” bought by Petrus from European traders in Makkovik during spring of 1791, was already used in the construction of such a European-style house.¹

CONCLUSION

The settlement of Arvertok, once an Inuit whaling site on Labrador’s north coast, came into the Moravian missionary consciousness in 1765. While serving as interpreters and mediators for Governor Hugh Palliser, the European missionaries sought to explore a place for their mission station in Labrador, which was a continuation of their global missionary initiatives, including work among the Inuit of Greenland. The regional toponym “Arbatok” and the demographic information provided by Inuit were mentioned in the 1765 Moravian travel journal and mapped into earlier French coastal charts by Pilot and Fornel. The location of Arvertok near the mouth of Hamilton Inlet was corrected, during the exploration journey of 1770 that led to the establishment of Nain in 1771, as lying further north.

The Moravian diaries, chronicles, and other documents provide not only historical data for the reconstruction of the history of eighteenth-century European missions but also open up windows on Inuit mobility and trade, as well as the Europeanization Inuit underwent when acquiring European hunting and fishing technology, means of travel, foods, clothing, and housing styles. The picture that emerges for the Moravian presence in eighteenth-century Hopedale is one of a tenuous ecclesiastical institutional presence in view of the great mobility and abiding attraction the south had for Inuit habitation and middleman trade in Labrador. Here, Inuit could build on previous trading experience that predated the Moravians and had situated Arvertok as an important locale in the Aboriginal coastal trading network.

Historically, the naval and commercial centres for Inuit trade and habitation in the south that appear in the early Moravian records were Chateau Bay, Netsektok (Sandwich Bay), and Aivektok, where European and Inuit mercantile interests benefited from each other. The decided efforts of the British naval government and the Moravian Church to prohibit and discourage movement of Inuit to the south were of limited success. The continued relations with southern traders and a lack of religious conversions in the north had consequences for Moravian settlement and trade. At times it called in question the continued viability of Hopedale as a missionary settlement. Even a wider assortment of
trading goods in Moravian stores that included guns and other supplies offered by southern traders did not entirely prevent migrations by Inuit. As the case of Hopedale demonstrates, only a thoroughgoing indigenization of the Moravian faith through a massive revival in 1804-05 led to a paradigm shift in the religious self-understanding of the Inuit and stabilized the Moravian presence from Hopedale to Okak.

APPENDIX: JENS HAVEN’S CHRONICLE OF THE ESTABLISHMENT OF HOPEDALE

Inuit travel to and trade in the south during the early Moravian period appears to have continued a practice that had already been established prior to their coming. Arvertok, the Inuit gathering place and gateway to the south, was perceived by the Moravians in Nain as a viable location for the third missionary settlement in the south. The reasons for choosing Arvertok as a settlement site and the development of Hopedale are documented by Jens Haven in his chronicle from 1783, which is here translated for the first time into English. Annotations to the translation are augmented with additional source material gleaned from the 1775 exploration report for a southern settlement site and other relevant Moravian sources.

JENS HAVEN’S BRIEF ACCOUNT OF ARVATOK AND ITS BEGINNING, NOW CALLED HOPEDALE

1769, when we requested an Order-in-Council for a piece of land of one-hundred-thousand acres — but four times one-hundred-thousand acres land had already been requested in 1765 for four missions — this caused quite a stir and we were accused of establishing a Jesuit empire in Labrador since we requested four locations for the mission. Thus we made do with one place for a mission, for which we asked only one-hundred-thousand acres of land, with the request that if in time we considered to establish several missions, we could ask for more land; and this was also granted in the same year and visited in 1770 and established in 1771.

1773, when Brother Layritz visited us, the remaining missionary locales were considered, and I went with the shallop that Brother and Sister Layritz had brought us to explore the north along the coast and came as far as Nagvak,
which lies in 59 degrees. On this journey Okak was found and was subsequently more closely considered, which lies in 58 degrees on the land of Kivallek.

But the exploration of Arvatok still remained alive since one heard many nice things about this area. It also happened that on his journey from Chateau Bay to Nain Brother Layritz met nine boats, mainly with people from Arvatok. Thus he desired to serve also this place with the gospel of Jesus’ death and suffering. But all of these people have since been exterminated.

1774, the year after Brother Layritz came from the Unity Elders Conference, we were instructed to reconnoitre once more the northern coast, which also took place that same fall through Brasen, Lehmann, Lister, and Haven. But the former two drowned and nothing came of this exploration journey. But since our instructions also said that Arvatok as well as the destroyed Hopedale (“Hoffentahl”) were to be explored as soon as such was possible and doable, it took place in the spring of 1775 through Haven, Lister, and Beck. There were many difficulties in finding a place where a mission could flourish, although we spared no effort, and all exploring was fruitless until we finally found a place six minutes west of Arvatok on the same headland, where with effort a mission house could be maintained, and [it] had the following advantages:

1. a good harbour for ships;
2. a water source that does not freeze in winter and not dry up in summer;
3. a rock that was largely even [and] where a house can stand straight;
4. enough land for a garden and
5. room enough so that the Esq[uimaux] can live among us;
6. close enough to the Esq[uimaux] who live at Arvatok and, as mentioned before, only six minutes by land to there.
7. In our ship harbour is also the only place in this area that in the month of March has the small grey cod (otherwise called Sei), where all inhabitants of this area come to fish around that time. It is also in August only half an hour from the place where in summer there are many cod of the regular kind here.
8. This headland lies also good four German miles inside the islands that are to the east towards the sea in front of it and is therefore safe from the whalers that swarm about. Only one real difficulty remains, namely wood, for burning as well as building. For in the area where the house is to stand and where the Esq[uimaux] live, is wood enough for only one year; and in the
area one German mile around us only for some years; and approximately
two to three miles from the place there was a nice amount of wood. At
the time, we could not explore any further and sailed southward and
found the destroyed Hopedale as well as the place where Brother Erhardt
together with six Europeans was killed. And in both places there was a
depressing feeling.

1775, Brother Liebisch came and brought the news that it ought to be de-
termined where Okak was to be and the border stones to be set, which also
happened that same fall by Brethren Haven and St. Jensen, and it was begun
in 1776.

But since Brother Liebisch brought with him also the order that Arvatok
was to be explored once more, such took place in 1777 through Brethren
Johann Schneider, Chr. Lister, and St. Jensen, and the previously suggested
place was found, but they gave it a bad report, which subsequently caused con-
siderable trouble, that in an area of 100,000 acres no more comfortable place
could be found. But the above-mentioned Brethren themselves could not find
a better place, not even suggest one, and the border stones were set according
to the formerly chosen place.

The same year, 1777, I received a call to come to Germany, but before I left
Labrador, I heard that there was much movement regarding Arvatok, that it
was soon to begin, but I advised against it when I came to Barby.

Firstly, I thought that it was necessary to wait with a third mission until
the two that had begun had believers and members and, secondly, since the
inhabitants of Arvatok had so-to-speak been exterminated or had died. I thus
considered it not advisable to start a mission until inhabitants had gathered
there again or until there were so many believers at the first two places that had
been started that it would be necessary to distribute them, and because in the
Nain district as well as in Okak there may presumably be different people from
Arvatok, who could easily be encouraged to move to Arvatok, once a mission
had begun there. And since the start of a mission is necessarily very costly and
the two previous ones still have great debts, for with less than 300 Reichsthaler,
no mission can be started in Labrador, nothing was done in 1778.

But when in 1779 a rumour originated in England that the English would
extend their places of fishing and subsistence farther north and soon come to
Arvatok, our brethren thought that they might get ahead of us to Arvatok and
start a fishery there, by which our rights in the Order-in-Council could be lost.
Therefore it was brought before the Saviour and we received [word] in 1781 in
our instruction sent to Labrador that we should build a mission house in Nain for Arvatok. Brother and Sister Haven came for that reason from Okak to Nain to help build the house and also go to Arvatok to start a mission there, which also happened.

And when in the same year 1781 an English whaler with the name of Mr. Gardener arrived at Nain, who had reconnoitred the coast of Labrador from Chateau Bay to Nain in order to find, as he said, a place where in the fall he could catch whales and winter on this coast, for which he had chosen Arvatok and, particularly, had just selected the harbour and also the flat land that we had wanted for a mission, and had destroyed one of the border stones that stood on the same land, but when he heard from the Esquimaux as well as in Nain that this area had been given by the King and Privy Council in England through an Order-in-Council to the Brethren and their Society for a missionary establishment, he let go of his intention and went to Chateau Bay where he wintered.

This gave us reason to believe that it was time to start Arvatok, and it gave us hope that the place that we had selected for the construction of a mission was a good one since Mr. Gardener, together with several capable and experienced men on the ship as well as one of the most knowledgeable men of Arvatok who was well acquainted with the area, had not been able to find anything else but just the harbour and the same small place which also we had chosen to build on.

1781 as well as 1782, the wood was cut and carried home, prepared and bound up together, and a great part of the boards that in Nain we cut, planed, grooved . . . in their sawmill and much other equipment that is unavoidably necessary for building was fabricated at Nain.

It is necessary to mention that it was connected with considerable discomfort, as everyone well knows: every beginning is hard, and that there are many different views and temperaments. I have now been present in seeking and choosing four places for a mission. And it took several years for all of them, before they could find the place. But it lasted the longest in Lichtenfels in Greenland, where they disputed for three years until it could be determined and, finally, after all, at the first location. Thus it would also be the case with Hopedale.

It is quite difficult to find a place that has everything to maintain a mission and also where, fortunately, the Esquimaux can find their food nearby. And it is essential that the sea permits it to be covered with ice in the fall and only in spring opens up. If this and similar things were not the case, there would be
many very nice places in the district of Arvatok where there is enough wood and valuable land, also salmon fishing and enough hunting land, but of what use would it be if it were four to five German miles into the district, where one would see the Esq[imaux] only a few times a year and could find food among us for only a few days? But I will not write more about this here and will turn now to a consideration of Arvatok.

Arvatok now called Hoffentahl\textsuperscript{125} [Hopedale], called in German place of whales, has this name since it is the last place towards the south where the Esq[imaux] catch whales in the fall. It is a land tongue off the mainland that carries this name and lies in 55 degrees between 30 and 40 minutes northern latitude\textsuperscript{126} and about 500 German sea miles west-northwest from London. Islands precede it for more than four German miles, before one reaches the open sea, and [it is] south enough for the whalers that swarm about if they have no Esq[imaux] for a pilot.\textsuperscript{127}

This corner is an ancient place of habitation for the Esq[imaux] and there have been often six inhabited houses and on occasion even more. Except for this place, there have been five other places around here, with the farthest only two German miles from Arvatok. Last year, only Arvatok was inhabited, and only three houses and some 70 souls. According to all estimates by one of these [people], three to four hundred inhabitants have wintered in the area.\textsuperscript{128}

The place is very well known and famous among them [the Inuit], and who has lived a winter in Arvatok boasts about it as if he had lived in London or Paris. And in former times when they went every two years to the south to rob and murder, Arvatok was the gathering place, where they came together from more than 60 German miles [about 450 km] from all habitations in the north, and left from here for the south and did their best to return here in the fall. Because of this, the people of Arvatok were greatly respected, and there were proud and rough murderers among them and [people from] all other areas were afraid of them and did their best to always please them.

Wood
There is little in the area and only enough for a few years, but four miles into the bay there is very much wood as well as birch wood.\textsuperscript{129}

Fish
About half an hour from our house, there are in August and September very many cod. Two miles north of us is a good place to catch trout, and four miles into the bay are many sizable salmon. In our ship harbour are in March and
April the small black cod (otherwise called Sey) and from the end of June until the end of September there are also trout, mussels of two kind, and sculpins,\textsuperscript{130} very many, from which the Esq[quimaux] can live for a long time, if only they have blubber.

**Seals**

This area is richly supplied with all kinds of seals, and if they dealt properly with them, they would not need to starve. One can see it by the fact that a person from Arvatok is too proud to catch cod and says with arrogance, “I am not suited for fishing and my wives have other things to do than to dry fish. That is work for useless people. I catch seals and whales.” Because of such arrogance it happened last year that they did not get any whales and they wasted the summer and the seal hunt in the fall was bad. When starvation came, I repeated [to them] their words, namely their talk that: you were not suited for fishing; starve with contentment and make it better next summer.

**Whales**

are there in the fall and there is a good whale hunt here. The last few years, however, they have been on too few such hunts and caught none.

**Beluga\textsuperscript{131}**

[A] Kind of white whales, usually three fathoms long, are here plenty in spring when the ice starts to break. This spring they got ten.\textsuperscript{132}

**Sea birds**

of all kinds are here, very numerous in summer and thus there are also many eggs in spring. There are also many wild geese here, which hatch their young here.

**Land birds**

There are also ptarmigans,\textsuperscript{133} but fewer than in Nain and Okak. There is here, however, in fall a kind of “Schnepper”\textsuperscript{134} that are approximately as large as a dove, and it is the fattest and best-tasting bird that I have seen.

**Land animals**

There are quite a few reindeer\textsuperscript{135} here but no good hunting place like in the area of Nain. The Esq[quimaux] say that there is so much bush here that they cannot find them. Hares are few, foxes and wolves many, also wolverines,\textsuperscript{136} otters,\textsuperscript{137} martens\textsuperscript{138} and bears, of the latter four kinds but few. I have also seen some fur here.
It is likely the indisputably most nourishing place for the Esq[uimaux] of all three missionary locales.

We arrived here Anno 1782, on 2 September, and have lived in peace with the Esq[uimaux] in Hopedale until 20 August 1783, when I copied this, Jens Haven.
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“Where a little Elbe river [the missionaries' designation of any river near the missionary settlement, presumably in memory of the Elbe River in Saxony] comes down, where the Esk[imos] fetch their water during winter and which according to their report never dries or freezes up in winter.” See “Nachricht,” 013319, MAB.
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The discovery of the grave of the Europeans on the island of Mannerektok and the ruins of the first house in the second, southernmost bay of Makkovik, is narrated in detail in “Nachricht von der Südnischen Recognoscirung-Reise von 11ten July biβ 28ten July 1775,” 013322-29. The locations are also mapped in a chart drawn by Haven (TS Mp. 121.6), which has been preserved at UAH.

Samuel Liebisch (1739-1809) replaced Christoph Brasen as Superintendent of the mission after Brasen drowned, and Liebisch stayed in Labrador until 1783, when he was called back to Saxony to become a member of the Unity Elders Conference. See “Memoir of the Life of Br. Samuel Liebisch, Bishop of the Brethren’s Church, and one of the Earli- est Missionaries in Labrador, who departed this Life at Berthelsdorf, Dec. 3rd, 1809,” Periodical Accounts 19 (1848): 209-12, 273-77. On setting the border stones for Okak, see Mission der evangelischen Brüder in Labrador (Gnadau: Franz Burkhard, 1831), 79.

Stephan Jensen (1724-1800) was a Danish carpenter and steersman and a member of the original 1771 party that established Nain, where he died on 2 August 1800.

Johann Schneider (1713-85), a member of the old Unitas Fratrum in Moravia, had previous missionary experience in Greenland and America when he and his wife Elisabeth joined the original party that established Nain. Later, the Schneiders also worked in Okak and Hopedale. He and his wife lie buried in the oldest Moravian cemetery in Hopedale. See my forthcoming study, “Johann and Elisabeth (Ertel) Schneider: Pioneer Missionaries in Labrador from Moravia.”

On the 1777 trip, see “Bericht der Brüder Johann Schneider, Lister und Stephan Jensen von ihrer Reise aus Nain nach Arvertok und wieder zurück vom 2ten bis 23ten July 1777”
190  Rollmann

[Report of the Brethren Johann Schneider, Lister and Stephan Jensen about their Journey from Nain to Arvertok and back again from the 2nd to the 23rd July 1777], 63-73, R.15.K.a.10.a.5, UAH; see also the untitled manuscript report from July 1777 in the Bethlehemin Collection, 58258-62, MAB. A copy of the sales contract in Inuktitut and German translation with the signatures of the Inuit from Arvertok is preserved in Herrnhut as manuscript R.15.Ka.7.1, 276-77 (German) and 278-79 (Inuktitut). The original sales contract in Inuktitut was preserved among the archival materials from Labrador and is titled “Kauf-Brief über das Land in Arvertok: 1777” [Deed of Sale for the Land at Arvertok], 013692-013698, MAB. There is no indication in the report of the three Moravian brethren who purchased the land and set the border stones that they “gave it a bad reputation,” as alleged by Haven. They also did not explore and consider any alternative site.

118  Barby, Saxony, was from 1771 to 1784 the seat of the Moravian Unity Elders Conference and its worldwide administration.

119  Bringing an issue before the Saviour meant that lots were cast to have a decision rendered by Christ himself. Since this resulted in an instruction brought by the mission ship, the decision was made for the Labrador missionaries by the Unity Elders Conference in Saxony.

120  Note the German Diary of Nain for 23 Oct. 1781: “The Inuit also said that Mr. Gardner [sic] stayed with the schooner Industry in Arvertok, both when coming and on his return, in the bay where the mission house is to be built, where they [the Gardener party] thought of building their winter house. But eventually they gave it up. The Inuit were told that the land in Arvertok was ours, since we had bought the same from them and that no one could build a house there but us. If someone should come, who wanted to build there, they should tell him that this land is ours and show them the border stones. Tuglauvina promised to do this” (1167), R.15K.b.4.a, UAH. For Gardener’s visit at Nain and his familiarity with Labrador, see the German Nain Diary, 6-9 Sept., “Diarium der Hauss-Gemeine in Nain von den Monaten April, May u[n]d Juny ab 1781” [Diary of the house congregation at Nain for the months July, Aug[ust] and until the 15th [of] Sept[ember] 1781], 1156-58, R.15.K.b.4.a, UAH; see also the detailed letter of Superintendent Samuel Liebisch to the Unity Elders Conference in Germany, 1-2, according to which Gardener was American-born: R.15.Kb.17.b.25, UAH; also the entry for 23 Oct. 1781 in “Diarium der Hauss-Gemeine in Nain von der lezten Helfte Septbr. bis ult. Decbr. 1781” [Diary of the house congregation at Nain for the final half of September until the last of December 1781], 1167, R.15.K.b.4.a, UAH; also 6 Mar. 1782, “Diarium der Hauss-Gemeine in Nain von den Monaten Jan., Febr. u. Merz 1782” [Diary of the house congregation at Nain for the months of January, February and March 1782], 30-31, R.15.K.b.4.a, UAH; also the diary for Okak of 24 July 1782, “Diarium des Hauss-Gemeineins in Okkak von dem Monathe July 1782” [Diary of the little house congregation in Okak for the month of July 1782], 661-63, R.15.Kb.5.a, UAH; see also the German Nain Diary for 2 Oct. 1782, 94, R.5.K.b.4b, UAH.

121  Presumably the pilot of the boat, Kullak. See the entries in the German Nain Diary for 6 and 7 Sept. 1781 as well as the one for 23 Oct. 1781. See “Diarium der Hauss-Gemeine
in Nain von den Monaten Aprill, May und Juny ab 1781” [Diary of the house congregation at Nain for the months July, Aug[ust] and until the 15th [of] Sept[ember] 1781], 1156-57; “Diarium der Hauss-Gemeine in Nain von der lezten Helfte Septbr. bis ult. Decbr. 1781” [Diary of the house congregation at Nain for the final half of September until the last of December 1781], 1167, R.15.K.b.4.a; UAH.

122 The modern meaning of the German word “geflugt” in the lacuna for boards is not certain. The syntax of the sentence creates difficulties as well.

123 One in Greenland (Lichtenfels, 1758) and three in Labrador (Nain, 1771; Okak, 1776; and Hopedale, 1782).

124 On the origins of Lichtenfels, Greenland, see David Cranz, Historie von Groenland (Barby: Heinrich Detlef Ebers; Leipzig: Weidmann, 1765), 870-926. As documented in this manuscript, it took seven years from the first exploration of Hopedale until its eventual settlement.

125 The correct German spelling is actually “Hoffenthal,” not “Hoffentahl.” From the beginning, the English name was Hopedale, which is also etymologically appropriate. Hoffen = to hope or the hope; “thal” = valley or dale. Hopedale was named in honour of the first Hopedale where missionaries had built a house at Nisbet Harbour in 1752. The 1752 Hopedale was later differentiated from the 1782 Hopedale as “Alt-Hoffenthal” or “Old-Hopedale.”

126 According to the official Sailing Directions: Labrador and Hudson Bay, 6th ed. (Ottawa: Department of Fisheries and Oceans, 1988), 241, Hopedale Harbour lies in 55:27N 60:13W.

127 The sentence represents a difficult reading and is one of the many examples of Haven’s unorthodox German grammar and orthography. I read “wenn sie keine Esq. som los haben” as “wenn sie keinen Esq[uimaux] zum Lotzen haben,” in English: “if they have no Esq[uimaux] for a pilot.”

128 Because of Haven’s defective punctuation, the clause “According to all estimates by one of these [people]” can also conclude the preceding sentence.

129 The Danish missionary Peder Dam, reviewing the Moravians’ 100 years at Hopedale in 1882, noted the following in a German article: “100 years ago, our peninsula was covered in all valleys, gorges and on several mountain slopes with trees. Now it is almost totally deforested, and whoever wants to have real firewood or lumber must travel for several hours. As time progresses, it becomes increasingly impossible for our people to fetch a winter’s supply of firewood by sled; and caring, industrious Eskimos have for years already seen to it in the fall that they bring in a sufficient amount of firewood by raft for the winter. See [Peder Petersen] Dam, “Rückblick auf die Geschichte der Missionsstation Hoffenthal in Labrador von deren Anfang im Jahr 1782 bis zum Jahr 1882” [Retrospect of the History of the Mission Station Hopedale in Labrador from its Beginnings in the Year 1782 until the Year 1882], Nachrichten aus der Brüdergemeine 1882: 1048.

130 German: Ulken.

131 The eighteenth- and nineteenth-century German word for beluga was “Weis[s]fische”: “white fish.”
Dam observed in 1882: "Arvertok means as much as 'to have many whales,' which name was given to this place only because there were many such fishes here. Now there are no longer any whales in our area. Even the small 18- to 20-foot-long white whales [beluga] have not been here for 30 or more years. Therefore it seemed to our people like a miracle when in the spring of 1881 suddenly they appeared again and four or five of them were captured." [Peder Petersen] Dam, "Rückblick auf die Geschichte der Missionsstation Hoffenthal in Labrador von deren Anfang im Jahr 1782 bis zum Jahr 1882," 1048-49.

“Rupper” is also listed in Moravian records as Rypen or Ripper and is likely a ptarmigan or grouse.

Schnepper or Schnäpper can represent a variety of robin.

“Rentiere”
“wolfering”
“fischotters”
“Martins”