those listed. Ames points out that "references to Canadian and Mexican materials are deliberately limited." Even so, some excellent Canadian material is listed along with the best in the American field; some of my favourite titles do appear.

There is an index to all titles and authors of works listed. Unfortunately there is no index by subject keywords (a massive undertaking since many works deal with a magnitude of subjects and terms), but as the chapters are divided along general subject areas, material can be located in the most likely place; in some cases, a title appears in more than one section.

As a museum generalist, I welcome this compilation by specialists. We often naturally, and appropriately, turn to the United States for this sort of information. It cannot be called a shortcoming of this bibliography that Canadian titles are limited, or that British or French titles do not appear. Given such a wealth of American resource material, we should now be pressed to examine our own resources more, promoting the published research on Canadian subjects to the Canadian museum community. We could benefit from a parallel listing of Canadian titles, slight though that might be in many subject areas. However, any researcher here would be well advised to begin with a chapter of this bibliography, then to search for what is available in the Canadian field, the British, and (especially for eighteenth-century work) the French.

Graeme Patterson,
*History and Communications: Harold Innis, Marshall McLuhan, the Interpretation of History*

BRYAN DEWALT


Like many researchers in the field of the history of technology, I do not know enough about Harold Innis and Marshall McLuhan's work on communications. Despite the lip service paid to Innis as the father of staples theory and despite the fleeting fame of McLuhan, the work of neither man has proven amenable to conventional analysis. The reader will search their sweeping passages in vain for a clear statement of theme, the coherent presentation of evidence, and the integration of both into narrative or dialectic. This very opacity of Innis and McLuhan's work has been both their main appeal and their primary liability; just as they attract so they repel. Many of us might well turn then, to Graeme Patterson's curious book in the hope of finding the lucidity and coherence lacking in the originals. If they are looking for such a primer, however, they will be disappointed.

*History and Communications: Harold Innis, Marshall McLuhan, the Interpretation of History* is not a beginner's guide to the work of these two men. The book is rather a collection of interrelated essays aimed at placing Innis and McLuhan within the context of evolving historical thought in Canada and therefore also demonstrating their relevance to the study of Canadian history, particularly intellectual history.

Patterson begins with Innis, arguing that his later work on communications was not a radical departure from his early books on the fur trade and the cod fishery. They were in fact linked by a common concern for transportation systems as the means for the movement of physical things, be they "made beaver" or papyrus scrolls. These systems and the products they carried had formative influences on societies and empires and thus were, in a McLuhanesque sense, media of communications. Furthermore, Innis's early and late work were both written in the context of historiographical concern for Canada as a part of the British Empire and as the centre of a new (commercial) empire itself.
Innis’s treatment of communications media as agents of historical causality was to inspire McLuhan. But perhaps even more so was Innis’s analogical and elliptical mode of discourse. McLuhan was to take this personal style, elevate it to a form of methodology, and build a career. McLuhan, claiming Innis, James Joyce, and modern physics as his inspirations, sought to shatter what he believed were the linear patterns of thought that were imprinted on the mind by typography but which were becoming outmoded in an age of instantaneous electronic communications. Patterson accepts McLuhan’s claim as the heir to Innis, despite obvious differences between Innis’s focus on the relation between communications and political power and McLuhan’s preoccupation with the effects of technology on the collective psyche.

From Innis, Patterson elaborates an analysis of the 1837 Rebellion through a focus on newspapers and pioneer roads. I’ll leave it to experts on Upper Canadian history to judge this endeavour. From McLuhan, Patterson appropriates tools for examining narrative and Whiggish biases in Canadian historiography. It is not clear what Patterson finds so useful here. He specifically applies two concepts that McLuhan was fond of: “figure/ground” relations and the “cliché/archetype.” Despite attempts to use the former to discuss contexts for various historiographical ideas, it is never made clear why figure/ground is superior to more commonplace words like, well, “context.” As for cliché/archetype, despite a 27-page essay on the history of these two useful words, Patterson comes no closer than McLuhan did to providing his working definition of either. In fact when Patterson does apply them, in his essay on the ideas of “Family Compact” and “Responsible Government,” he conflates them into the single construct, “cliché/archetype.” This ambiguity underlines his failure to present compelling reasons for a McLuhan-inspired application of these words or for preferring them to others like “myth,” “model” or “paradigm.”

As an exercise in intellectual history this book will be, as the polite expression goes, thought provoking. Patterson’s idiosyncratic juxtaposition of Marshall McLuhan and Lord Durham may well prove fruitful. Time will tell. To the extent that Patterson has insisted that the study of communications is part of history, and not of some social-pseudo-science, he has also performed a valuable service. But for historians interested in communications and in material history, the book is less satisfying. In this regard, the work of James W. Carey offers a more suitable introduction to and elaboration of the work of Innis and McLuhan.

Jacqueline Beaudoin-Ross,
*Formes et modes : le costume à Montréal au XIXe siècle / Form and Fashion: Nineteenth-Century Montreal Dress*

CHRISTINA BATES


*Form and Fashion* is one in a series of eight handsome catalogues of inaugural exhibitions produced for the May 1992 re-opening of the McCord Museum of Canadian History in Montreal. *Form and Fashion* is also a monograph on the topic of fashion in Montreal in the nineteenth century. The book contains both English and French texts.

The catalogue presents sixteen examples of nineteenth-century feminine fashion exhibited on mannequins. The ensembles, all drawn from the splendid McCord costume collection, are remarkably well-documented. Most are of Montreal or Quebec origin, and in several cases the identity of the wearer is known. The author has drawn upon another rich collection in the McCord Museum, the Notman Photographic Archives, to provide a context for the costumes. Each garment is accompanied by...