mon foreign and defense policy is viewed as competing with that of NATO’s to the extent that European states allocate resources away from NATO.

Second, Miller suggests that democracy resolves the state-to-nation imbalance. However, democracy must be properly structured in order to rectify the imbalance via representation or it risks unifying those marginalized against the government. Furthermore, he points to South America as an example of a case where state-to-nation imbalance has been resolved via democracy, thus reducing the risk of conflict. However, it might otherwise be claimed that democracy has not reduced the risk of conflict; instead the unwillingness of the US to change the balance of capabilities, the incapacity of those states to internally increase capabilities, and the collective action problem have limited the capacity of political actors to challenge the state-to-nation imbalance. The recent electoral success of “leftist” collective action movements in places like Bolivia, Argentina, Columbia, and Venezuela on the basis of indigenous rights and egalitarianism suggests an imbalance remains despite “consolidated” democracy.

Despite these weaknesses, Miller presents an interesting theory capable of explaining both civil and interstate conflicts within a regional context by transcending the typical levels of analysis divide. As such, this book presents a step forward for conflict studies.

**Anessa L. Kimball** is an Assistant Professor in the Department of Political Science at the Université Laval.


It may seem odd amidst the loss of life associated with war to pause to express concern over the destruction of buildings, bridges, and other architectural structures. However, as Robert Bevan argues in *The Destruction of Memory: Architecture at War*, the twentieth century witnessed not only an increase in the magnitude of destruction, but also the advent of military forces deliberately seeking in certain conflicts — frequently in conjunction with ethnic cleansing — to erase physical evidence that another people had ever occupied a given territory or that different peoples had ever lived side by side or even intermarried. Bevan observes that “Architecture in the twentieth century became, more and more, a weapon of war rather than something that gets in the way of its smooth conduct. Architecture is not just maimed in the crossfire; it is targeted for assassination or mass murder.” (p. 210)

In the former Yugoslavia, Serbs and Croats not only sought to drive their opponents from a given territory, contending in the face of contrary evidence that
the territory was and should always be the exclusive domain of a particular
group, but also to obliterate evidence of centuries of occupation by other groups.
In the effort to accomplish such a rewriting of history, forces deliberately target-
ed libraries, museums, archives, and other repositories of documentary and archi-
tectural evidence. The wholesale destruction in areas of mosques or of Orthodox
or Christian churches permitted one side or the other to claim that other groups
had never inhabited such areas.

Nor was the former Yugoslavia a unique case. The Holocaust included not
only a genocidal targeting of an entire people but an effort, including the destruc-
tion of many places of worship, intended to eradicate even any memory. Debates
persist about the extent to which Allied and German air raids in World War II rep-
resented conscious destruction of enemy architectural treasures, a negligent lack
of concern about architectural heritage, or simply collateral damage. In the case
of the German occupation of Warsaw in World War II, a conscious effort was
made to identify the most noteworthy buildings of Warsaw’s architectural her-
itage so that they could be destroyed. At the same time, architects, planners, and
students risked their lives as part of an underground effort to document Warsaw’s
architectural heritage so the city could be rebuilt after the war. In whole or in
part because of a politics of symbolism, Al-Qaeda targeted the Pentagon and
World Trade Towers, just as the Taliban destroyed the Buddhas of Bamiyan and
factions of the Irish Republican Army destroyed Nelson’s Pillar and the Four
Courts in Dublin. Bevan includes many more examples, ranging from instances
of deliberate destruction to cases of simple negligence, as in failing to exercise
due care to protect treasures from being looted. Some of the examples of delib-
erate destruction are easy to condemn and deserving of that condemnation.
There are other cases where some will argue how far a military force can or
should go to avoid damaging historic structures or to protect such structures from
criminals seeking to exploit disorder.

The point of Bevan’s fascinating work is that, with the destruction of these
buildings, what is diminished is not simply the architectural heritage of one
group or another but of the world and the rights of non-combatants to protection.
Bevan reflects that “Even in the absence of its builders, a dead building, like a
dead language, can be sadly eloquent. It can speak for the sufferings of the
Armenians, the Jews and for the Bosnian Muslims whose bones lie in the mass
graves of Foça interlocked with fragments of carved stone screens and turned
wood, Enlightenment values of equality, justice, reason and aspirations for a his-
tory that is objective are at stake, not to mention the notion of a collective world
patrimony that evolved from the legacy of the French Revolution” (p. 211). This
book is deserving of a wide readership.

James G. Mellon graduated from Dalhousie University, and has taught at Mount
Allison, Lakehead, Dalhousie, and Saint Mary’s Universities.