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ABSTRACT

This paper describes an investigation of fatigue resistance in different steel wire rope constructions used in Norwegian cable logging operations. Test results indicate the following:

· A clear difference in fatigue resistance between ropes (approximately 50% decreased endurance for the compacted rope of highest density).
· Differences in rope wear for varying angles of deflection.
· A correlation between the number of visible broken wires and remaining strength in the rope.
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INTRODUCTION

Mobile yarders, lighter equipment and better methods have made it possible to decrease rigging time for cable logging systems. One task in a rigging operation is carrying blocks into the logging field. Workers prefer to carry smaller, lighter blocks, usually smaller than the recommended size for the wire rope used. The repeated bending of the individual wires, as the rope passes over the sheave, causes fatigue. Sheave diameter should be of an adequate size relative to the rope used in order to prevent excessive fatigue in the rope.

Two compacted steel wire ropes for cable logging have been introduced in Norway. Compacted wire ropes were introduced mainly because of their longer life expectancy; a mechanical treatment to increase the metallic cross-sectional area results in a higher strength-to-diameter ratio. (This may, for example, include a swaging process wherein the rope or its strands are drawn through a die.) Compacted steel wire ropes tend, however, to have greater bending stiffness, and decreased fatigue resistance may be a problem where compacted ropes are used on small sheaves.

OBJECTIVES

Our knowledge concerning fatigue and the effect of varying angles of deflection on compacted steel wire ropes is limited. Such knowledge is of great importance to cable logging contractors when they choose their equipment and organise their work.

The goal of this study is to establish life expectancies for and measure wear on two compacted ropes and one standard rope used on sheaves with varying angles of deflection. The new knowledge about rope performance under bending stress will give cable logging contractors more precise criteria for selecting the right ropes, blocks, and rigging procedures.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Wire ropes

Three ropes of a 6x19 construction with right regular lay and an independent wire rope core were tested:

· Two wire ropes of Canadian manufacture, both compacted using the method wherein the individual strands as well as the rope as a whole are compacted. These two Canadian ropes are the only compacted ropes presently used in Norwegian cable logging operations.
· One standard wire rope from China, chosen to provide a point of reference and comparison.

Blocks

The blocks used in the test were four open-sheave [5], 8-inch Nalco with a groove diameter of 180 mm. This block was selected because it is delivered with the Norwegian Owren T3 and T400 Cable yarders and is in common use among cable logger entrepreneurs in Norway.

The sheave is much harder than other commonly used sheaves in Norwegian forestry. Sheave hardness was measured to 618 HV30 using the Vickers method (equal to 588 HB) on unused sheaves at NISK. The two ball bearings in the blocks had a static load limit equal to 2x15 kN. The sheaves were positioned to give the rope 30°, 90°, 120°, and 180° angles of deflection, respectively (see Figure 1). There were no signs of wear in the sheave surfaces.
Investigation equipment and method

Investigating equipment for wire ropes can generally be classified in three groups:

1. Standard tests.
2. Tests used in applied research and development.
3. Tests carried out in the course of research into fundamental principles.

This study falls in category 2.

The three wire rope types were tested in a test rig consisting of four blocks, a cylinder to adjust rope tension, and a cylinder to move the rope back and forth. Each piston stroke subjected the rope to an acceleration period, a constant velocity period, and a retardation period. Piston stroke length in the main cylinder was 3.2 m. Test ropes were 19 m long, had a mean velocity of 1.5 m/sec, and a tension force of 40 kN.

Each test rope was moved in cycles back and forth until failure at one of the bends. The test method was designed to simulate, as closely as possible, the actual conditions for ropes and blocks in use in Norwegian cable logging:

- The tension was chosen for a constant load of 40 KN in the test ropes because this is approximately the peak value in the skyline for the Owren T400 yarder in running skyline configuration.
- The peak value was chosen to simplify the test and speed up the fatigue process of the rope.
- The speed, 1.5 m/sec was chosen because this is within the range of the same yarder (0-7 m/sec). Problems due to excessive heat accumulation in the rope prevented the test from using higher speeds.

Three replications of each compacted rope construction were conducted; four replications were conducted of the reference rope.

Broken surface wires

The number of broken wires on the outside of a wire rope provides an index of its general condition and indicates whether or not it must be considered for replacement [10]. When a rope failed at one of the bends, its remaining flexed parts were failure tested to measure remaining breaking strength. Visible broken wires were identified at intervals of 500 cycles on the surface of two 200-mm long test segments. These were located 875 mm to 1075 mm from the midpoint of each flexed area of the rope. They were placed there to give easy access for inspection and to avoid the acceleration and retardation zones.

Table 1. Rope specifications.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rope</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
<th>Diameter (mm)</th>
<th>Construction</th>
<th>Minimum breaking load (kN)</th>
<th>Weight (kg/m)</th>
<th>Tensile strength (N/mm²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Wuxi city steel rope plant</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>6X25 IWRC RHRL</td>
<td>93.0</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>1770</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Wirerope industries Ltd</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>6X25 IWRC RHRL</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>1770</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Canadian manufacturer</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>6X25 IWRC RHRL</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>1770</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Values measured at NISK.
Total area tested was 12.5% of the length of each flexed area (Figure 2). The objective of this part of the test was to estimate the remaining breaking load (Figure 3) from the number of wire failures on the test segments.

Figure 2. Location of the test segments on the flexed area.

RESULTS

The ropes were cycled back and forth until failure. Table 2 shows the observed rope life in cycles (n) and the critical angle at which the rope failed (a).

Table 2. Machine cycles of back and forth (N) until failure in angle (a).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep.</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rope A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1823</td>
<td>30°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1344</td>
<td>30°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1457</td>
<td>120°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1405</td>
<td>180°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5530</td>
<td>180°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rope B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>6860</td>
<td>180°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5822</td>
<td>180°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3597</td>
<td>90°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rope C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3363</td>
<td>90°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3752</td>
<td>180°</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Rope lives times and wear on sheaves with varying angles of deflection.
DISCUSSION

Validity

The durability of steel wire ropes in cable logging operations depends on the wire rope used, its installation, and its operation. This investigation tested only bending of wire rope on sheaves under constant tension, and its results are therefore valid only under the test conditions used. Many parameters from practical use are left out or simplified, and the test is not directly comparable to the outdoor conditions.

Experimental approach

The calculation of the multiaxial state of stresses of a single wire in a bent wire rope is still not satisfactory, which makes a theoretical approach almost impossible. Since no satisfying answers can be found by theoretical means, they must be clarified experimentally.

Heat accumulation

During the test there were problems with heat accumulation in the ropes. When block axle temperature exceeded 120°C, the test machine was stopped to allow the blocks and rope to cool down. The heat was produced by the rope’s inner friction, the ropes rotating on the blocks, and the block bearings. Rope and block axle temperatures were quite similar. The temperature depended on the bending angle; greater angles create higher radial forces in the bearings and, consequently, increase friction.

Due to rope flexing and heating small portions of rope lubricant were deposited on the sheaves. The bearings in the 180° block, which were undersized for the forces applied in the test, collapsed and were replaced twice.

The sheave size

The bending of a wire rope is accompanied by readjustments in the relative positions of the strands and wires. This causes bending of the individual wires in the rope. The fatigue effect of bending appears in the form of small cracks in the wires.

These cracks propagate, under repeated stress cycles, until the remaining sound metal is inadequate to withstand the bending load. This results in broken wires showing no apparent contraction of the ropes cross section area.

Experience has established the fact that from the service viewpoint, a very definite relationship exists between the size of the largest wires of a wire rope and the size of
Broken surface wires

The broken surface wires developed in narrow paths of fatigue fractures on the compacted ropes. This indicates that the rope has worked in a grossly oversized groove or over a small sheave [9], as was the case in this test. The broken surface wires found by means of visible inspection were of two different types:

- Type 1 failure: Failures on the crown of the strand.
- Type 2 failure: Failures on the edge of the strand.

Rope B had only “type 1” wire failures. This is a typical result of bend fatigue [9]. Rope C had only “type 2” wire failures, each of which occurred only in one direction and at the same position on the wire. This may be caused by the ropes’ heavy compacting process, which results in sharp angles in the wires at these points (Figure 7). These points concentrate the stress and accelerate the fatigue process. The standard rope had both types of failures — type 1 failures where the wires were flattened due to high tread (Hertz) pressure and type 2 failures from interface with adjacent strands.

Visible wire failures as an indicator of the rope’s strength

In general, the performance of a wire rope is not affected by the occasional broken wire. In a long rope, every wire could be broken somewhere along its length without this causing unsatisfactory performance. There is sufficient friction in the rope to enable a broken wire to develop its total share of the load over a relatively short length.
The problem, however, becomes acute when a number of broken wires occur within a relatively short length of the rope [3]. A problem arises with a method based on fixed test segments when a critical area of failures occurs outside them. In the test described in this article, the number of outer wire failures on the test segments correlated with the compacted ropes’ reduction in strength. This may be explained with the relatively long test segments of 12% (Figure 2). Figure 3 shows the linear approximation to the measured values. Rope C had a \( r^2 = 0.59 \) and Rope B had a \( r^2 = 0.79 \).

Two phases of surface wire failure development

The endurance limit for steel — the stress below which the material can withstand an infinitely large number of stress repetitions — is roughly 50% of its ultimate stress. The more this limit is exceeded, the fewer the stress repetitions the material can be subjected to before failure.

Figure 7. Individual wires from unused rope C and B. The wires, especially from rope C, have been deformed in the compaction process. The arrows show the failure locations on the wires.

Figure 8 shows two phases of the test cycle, the zero wire failure and the phase wherein wire failure develops. The development phase increases relatively to the zero wire failure phase as the fatigue endurance of the rope construction is raised. After a phase almost free of wire breakage, the development of wire failures increases. The speed at which these develop increases with bigger wire stress and smaller D/d-ratios [4]. However, there must be other influences on rope C, since the exposure to smaller wire stress does not result in a longer failure development phase than rope B. The main difference between Rope B and C is in their degree of compaction. Therefore it is likely that rope C’s heavy compaction is to blame for its rapid degradation.

The angle of deflection

Figure 4 indicates that the outer wear of rope B depends on the angle of deflection. However, no significant differences were found. Increased bending angles seem to increase the number of surface wire failures. For this test, the number of surface wire failures correlated well to the measurement of the remaining breaking load of the rope (Figure 3). Because of this correlation, figure 4 gives a picture of the total degradation of the rope during the test. Table 2 indicate that the 180° bend was the most critical one for rope B. This fits well with the observed development of broken surface wires for the 180° bend in figure 4. For rope A and C the results indicated wear independent of the angles of deflection.
Rope lifetime and price

Figure 9. The average rope life and relative Norwegian trade prices.

Test results indicated that the Canadian compacted ropes used in Norwegian cable logging are operating on sheaves of insufficient diameters. Sheaves with increased diameter and a groove geometry that fits the rope better may give these ropes longer lives.

Rope B had the longest life in the cycle test (Figure 9). Both lifetime and price were approximately 4 times those of the standard rope.

Of highest importance when selecting a rope is the total economy of the operation, and increased lifetime results in decreased machine downtime. Further, higher density ropes reduce rope crushing and distortion, which improves drum spooling and rope performance. A smooth outer-rope profile reduces wear, increases sheave and drum life, and reduces outer-wire burning (Martensite) from friction [1]. The additional advantages of the smooth outer-rope profile of rope B make it a better choice than rope A.

Results indicate approximately 50% decreased endurance for rope C. This may result from deformation of the individual wires in the production process.

REFERENCES

[1] Brown, R. Correspondence with the manufacturer, unpublished. 5501 Trans Canada Highway, Pointe-Claire, Quebec.


AUTHOR CONTACT

Halvor Torgersen can be contacted by e-mail at -- Halvor.Torgersen@nisk.no