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The works of Donald Barthelme, suggests Charles Molesworth, take their power from the reconciliation of opposites. Molesworth finds in Barthelme "a fictional voice that is both coy and disaffected, naively desirous and dispassionately suave" (p. 10). Molesworth characterizes Barthelme as "first and last a comic writer. But ... he never allows himself to surrender that distinct note of melancholy" (p. 4).

Molesworth directly addresses the question of the importance of Barthelme's work. First, he quotes a statement by Robert Towers that Barthelme's fictions are "like the blowing of dandelion fluff: an inconsequential but not unpleasant way of passing time" (p. 2). Next, Molesworth cites Denis Donoghue, who sees Barthelme's stories as having what approaches a moral purpose: "... to detach us from things, possessions, conventional urgencies" (p. 2). Throughout Donald Barthelme's Fiction: The Ironist Saved from Drowning, Molesworth makes a meaningful synthesis from polemic statements and seeming paradoxes in Barthelme's fiction and criticism. The reason some critics consider Barthelme "pleasant" but "inconsequential," Molesworth postulates, is that he is a collagist whose materials are the refuse of society—both material and verbal refuse. Molesworth's most successful discussion of Barthelme's collagist technique is his dealing with the short story entitled "The President" from Unsp...
the "problem of ethnic destiny" which Philip Roth continues to address (p. 82). After admitting that in each case the implicit answer is "No," Molesworth asserts that it is Barthelme's lack of these concerns that makes him "less than a major writer for many readers" (p. 83). But for Molesworth, and for me, paradoxically, "it is this very absence of a central philosophical, historical, or metaphysical given that makes Barthelme an important if not a major writer" (p. 83). Barthelme is holding up a mirror to modern life; some people just dislike seeing assorted fragments reflected in the mirror.
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The Odyssey of Style in Ulysses

Karen Lawrence's penetrating study of narration in Ulysses grew out of her doctoral dissertation at Columbia. Lawrence's book builds on much recent criticism including Michael Grodon's Ulysses in Progress: it examines stylistic and narrational shifts across episodes in the novel and the effects of such "experiments on the reader's expectations" (p. 6). Like Grodon, Lawrence believes Ulysses "changes its mind as it progresses and forces a corresponding change of mind in the reader" (p. 6). While these days this isn't a radical thesis, Lawrence develops it thoroughly and well.

Most of Lawrence's book is devoted to Episode 7 and Episodes 10-18 of Ulysses; the first chapter of the text, however, looks at Dubliners. Lawrence contends that Joyce's work with free indirect style and other experiments with language in Dubliners were "important precursors" to his invention of narrational masks in the later episodes of Ulysses. An examination of Ulysses's early episodes follows her discussion of Dubliners.

When Joyce first began work on Ulysses, Lawrence points out, he planned to revise his aesthetic of "scrupulous meanness" of Dubliners and instead, to balloon the events out in the novel, and as Lawrence observes of the early episodes, narrative conventions are here firmly established: both traditional third-person and stream of consciousness.

The other chapters of Lawrence's study are devoted to examinations of separate episodes or clusters of episodes: one on "Aeolus"; another on "Wandering Rocks" and "Sirens"; another on "Cyclops," "Nausicaa," and "Oxen of the Sun"; and separate chapters on "Circe," "Eumaeus," "Ithaca," and "Penelope." In her discussion of each episode, Lawrence traces the gradual breakdown of traditional style, sometimes through parody, leading to the construction of a new novel form. Writing of "Aeolus," for instance, Lawrence examines the reader's task in confronting the narrative and "micronarrative" of this episode. According to Lawrence, Joyce deliberately altered the text from its original published form (by introducing interruption and double writing) to anticipate "the antics of the later chapters and to give the reader early notice that the form of the novel was becoming obsolete" (p. 57). Describing the style of "Wandering Rocks" as "meticulous" (p. 83), Lawrence shows how the episode, despite its "familiar techniques of narration" (p. 83), accomplishes a decidedly unfamiliar goal: the defamiliarization of reality. In her discussion of "Sirens," Lawrence explains how earlier chapters anticipate its narrative techniques and later episodes repeat them in the creation of text as "verbal composition" (p. 91). Writing about "Cyclops," Lawrence reflects on the interruptions of the "public" narrator through whom "excess becomes the mode of writing" (p. 102). In "Nausicaa" too, she writes, Joyce creates parody through excess, this time in the indirect monologue of Gerty McDowell. And in "Oxen of the Sun" a compendium of styles, none of which is neutral enough to serve as model or moderator, present "different versions of the story" (p. 139) none of which tells the "truth."

In her chapter on "Circe," Lawrence explores the implications of having drama rather than the narrative perform the storytelling function. In "Eumaeus," she claims that Joyce returns to narration, but this time "precision is exaggerated into punctiliousness, the literate diction cedes to faded elegance and cliche" (p. 165). In this chapter, as Lawrence points out, Joyce has his narrative make errors in diction as "scrupulously" (p. 167) as earlier narrators have chosen right words. Describing the "Ithaca" as the "climax" of Ulysses's move away from traditional fiction, Lawrence discusses the mask of science (one of "displacement") put on by the narrative mode of the episode. Finally, the form of the "Penelope" episode is, she writes, "a coda to the main progression of the book's styles and plots" (p. 203).